arXiv:1607.07354v1 [math.CA] 25 Jul 2016

SECOND-ORDER SELF-ADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS USING A CONFORMABLE PROPORTIONAL DERIVATIVE

DOUGLAS R. ANDERSON

Abstract. In this study, linear second-order conformable differential equations using a proportional derivative are shown to be formally self-adjoint equations with respect to a certain inner product and the associated self-adjoint boundary conditions. Defining a Wronskian, we establish a Lagrange identity and Abel's formula. Several reduction-of-order theorems are given. Solutions of the conformable second-order self-adjoint equation are then shown to be related to corresponding solutions of a first-order Riccati equation and a related quadratic functional and a conformable Picone identity. The first part of the study is concluded with a comprehensive roundabout theorem relating key equivalences among all these results. Subsequently, we establish a Lyapunov inequality, factorizations of the second-order equation, and conclude with a section on boundary value problems and Green's functions.

1. Introduction

We study the linear second-order conformable self-adjoint equation

$$D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x](t) + q(t)x(t) = h(t),$$

where D^α is a proportional derivative operator. Conformable proportional derivatives have been introduced by Anderson and Ulness [1] to generalize the idea of a proportional derivative controller [5]. This new conformable derivative operator D^α of order $\alpha \in [0,1]$, where D^0 is the identity operator, and D^1 is the classical differential operator, will be used to explore conformable self-adjoint equations.

Definition 1.1 (Conformable Differential Operator)**.** Let $\alpha \in [0,1]$. A differential operator D^α is conformable if and only if D^0 is the identity operator and D^1 is the classical differential operator. Specifically, D^α is conformable if and only if for a differentiable function $f = f(t)$,

$$D^0 f(t) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad D^1 f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} f(t) = f'(t).$$

Remark 1.2. In control theory, a proportional-derivative (PD) controller for controller output u at time t with two tuning parameters has the algorithm

$$u(t) = \kappa_p E(t) + \kappa_d \frac{d}{dt} E(t),$$

where κ_p is the proportional gain, κ_d is the derivative gain, and E is the error between the state variable and the process variable; see [5], for example. This is the impetus for the next definition.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A24, 34A05, 49J15, 49K15.

Key words and phrases. Conformable derivative, proportional-derivative controller, self-adjoint operator.

Definition 1.3 (A Class of Conformable Derivatives)**.** Let $\alpha \in [0,1]$, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and let the functions $\kappa_0, \kappa_1 : [0,1] \times \mathcal{I} \to [0,\infty)$ be continuous such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha\to 0^+} \kappa_1(\alpha,t) = 1, \qquad \lim_{\alpha\to 0^+} \kappa_0(\alpha,t) = 0, \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathcal{I}, \\ \lim_{\alpha\to 1^-} \kappa_1(\alpha,t) = 0, \qquad \lim_{\alpha\to 1^-} \kappa_0(\alpha,t) = 1, \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathcal{I}, \\ \kappa_1(\alpha,t) \neq 0, \alpha \in [0,1), \quad \kappa_0(\alpha,t) \neq 0, \alpha \in (0,1], \quad \forall\, t \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Then the following differential operator D^α, defined via

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \kappa_1(\alpha,t) f(t) + \kappa_0(\alpha,t) f'(t), \qquad t \in \mathcal{I} \tag{1.2}$$

is conformable provided the right-hand side exists at t, where $f' := \frac{d}{dt} f$.

Remark 1.4. For the operator given in (1.2), κ_1 is a type of proportional gain κ_p, κ_0 is a type of derivative gain κ_d, f is the error, and $u = D^\alpha f$ is the controller output. To illustrate, one could take $\kappa_1 \equiv \cos(\alpha\pi/2)$ and $\kappa_0 \equiv \sin(\alpha\pi/2)$, or $\kappa_1 \equiv (1-\alpha)\omega^\alpha$ and $\kappa_0 \equiv \alpha\omega^{1-\alpha}$ for any $\omega \in (0,\infty)$; or, $\kappa_1 = (1-\alpha)|t|^\alpha$ and $\kappa_0 = \alpha|t|^{1-\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$, so that

$$D^\alpha f(t) = (1-\alpha)|t|^\alpha f(t) + \alpha|t|^{1-\alpha} f'(t).$$

If κ_1 and κ_0 are constant then $D^\beta D^\alpha = D^\alpha D^\beta$, but $D^\beta D^\alpha \neq D^\alpha D^\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$ in general.

Remark 1.5. Note that $D^\alpha f(t)$ may exist even if $f'(t)$ does not if we relax the conditions

$$\kappa_1(\alpha,t) \neq 0,\ \alpha \in [0,1), \quad \kappa_0(\alpha,t) \neq 0,\ \alpha \in (0,1], \quad \forall\, t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

For example, take $\kappa_1 = (1-\alpha)t^\alpha$ and $\kappa_0 = \alpha t^{1-\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{I} = [0,\infty)$, and let $f(t) = t^r$ for $r \in [\alpha, 1)$. Then

$$D^\alpha f(t) = (1-\alpha)t^{\alpha+r} + r\alpha t^{r-\alpha}$$

exists for all $t \in [0,\infty)$, even though $f'(t)$ exists only for $t \in (0,\infty)$. We will not explore such singular cases in this paper; they remain open questions for further research. □

In this present work we aim to extend these notions using (1.2) to the conformable second-order differential equation

$$D^\alpha \left[p D^\alpha x \right](t) + q(t) x(t) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

which will be shown to be associated with a self-adjoint operator because it admits a Lagrange identity. The self-adjoint form (1.3), however, is an appropriate generalization and extension of the classical second-order self-adjoint form from ordinary differential equations [3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11]

$$(px')'(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

to conformable equations, since at $\alpha = 1$ we see that (1.3) reduces to (1.4).

The paper is constructed as follows, with (1.2) as our choice for D^α, and without loss of generality using the notation κ_j as a shorthand for $\kappa_j(\alpha,\cdot)$ satisfying (1.1) for $j = 0, 1$. Section 2 illustrates the interesting geometry associated with this calculus, and covers essential results for subsequent development. In Section 3 we explore (1.3), show how it is formally a self-adjoint

equation, use a Wronskian and establish a Lagrange identity and Abel's formula. Section 4 contains several reduction of order theorems. A corresponding Riccati differential equation is introduced in Section 6, the solutions of which are related back to solutions of (1.3). Section 7 explores a related quadratic functional and a foundational result, a Picone identity. A full connection among the various aspects of the paper is established in Section 8 in a Reid roundabout theorem.

2. Calculus for the Proportional Derivative

The calculus results in this section are modeled after those found in [2].

We begin with a vital definition [1, Definition 1.6], which establishes a type of exponential function for derivative (1.2).

Definition 2.1 (Conformable Exponential Function)**.** Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, the points $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq t$, and let the function $p:[s,t] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $\kappa_0, \kappa_1 : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (1.1), with p/κ_0 and κ_1/κ_0 Riemann integrable on $[s,t]$. Then the conformable exponential function with respect to D^α in (1.2) is defined to be

$$e_p(t,s) := e^{\int_s^t \frac{p(\tau)-\kappa_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\kappa_0(\alpha,\tau)}d\tau}, \quad e_0(t,s) = e^{-\int_s^t \frac{\kappa_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\kappa_0(\alpha,\tau)}d\tau}, \tag{2.1}$$

and satisfies

$$D^\alpha e_p(t,s) = p(t)e_p(t,s), \quad D^\alpha e_0(t,s) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The following useful properties of the exponential function are a direct result of (2.1).

Lemma 2.2 (Exponential Function Properties)**.** *Let κ_0, κ_1 satisfy* (1.1)*, D^α satisfy* (1.2)*, let p, q be continuous functions, and let $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$. For the conformable exponential function given in* (2.1)*, the following properties hold.*

- *(i)* $e_p(t,t) \equiv 1$.
- *(ii)* $e_p(t,s)e_p(s,r) = e_p(t,r)$.
- *(iii)* $\frac{1}{e_p(t,s)} = e_p(s,t) = e_{(2\kappa_1 - p)}(t,s)$.
- *(iv)* $e_{\kappa_1}(t,s) \equiv 1$.
- *(v)* $e_{-\kappa_1}(t,s) = e_0^2(t,s)$.
- *(vi)* $e_p(t,s)e_q(t,s) = e_{(p+q-\kappa_1)}(t,s)$.
- *(vii)* $\frac{e_p(t,s)}{e_q(t,s)} = e_{(p-q+\kappa_1)}(t,s)$.
- *(viii)* $D_t^\alpha[e_p(s,t)] = (2\kappa_1 - p)(t)e_p(s,t)$*, where D_t^α indicates employing D^α with respect to t for fixed s.*
- *(ix) If p is differentiable and positive, then*

$$e_{\left(\frac{-\mathbb{D}^\alpha p}{p}\right)}(t,t_0) = \frac{p(t_0)}{p(t)}e_0^2(t,t_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad e_{\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}^\alpha p}{p}\right)}(t,t_0) = \frac{p(t)}{p(t_0)}.$$

Remark 2.3. Due to (2.2), the geodesics of this operator, namely those curves with zero acceleration [6, 7] and thus satisfy the differential equation

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha y = 0,$$

include the exponentials (2.1) of the form $ce_0(t,t_0)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Two important geodesics are the secant line for a function f from a to b given by

$$\sigma(t) := e_0(t,a)f(a) + h_1(t,a)\frac{e_0(t,b)f(b) - e_0(t,a)f(a)}{h_1(b,a)}, \quad h_1(t,a) := \int_a^t 1 d_\alpha s, \tag{2.3}$$

and the tangent line for a function f differentiable at a given by

$$\ell(t) := e_0(t,a)f(a) + h_1(t,a)e_0(t,a)D^\alpha f(a). \tag{2.4}$$

Despite the fact that the geodesics are non-straight lines, the geometry associated with the proportional derivative calculus in this paper can be considered Euclidean; this is so since given any line (geodesic) and a point not on that line, there is exactly one line through the given point that is parallel to the given line. □

Theorem 2.4 (Rolle's Theorem)**.** *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. If the function f is continuous on $[a,b]$ and differentiable on (a,b), with*

$$f(a) = e_0(a,b)f(b),$$

then there exists at least one number $c \in (a,b)$ such that $D^\alpha f(c) = 0$.

Theorem 2.5 (Mean Value Theorem)**.** *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. If the function f is continuous on $[a,b]$ and differentiable on (a,b), then there exists at least one number $c \in (a,b)$ such that*

$$D^\alpha f(c) = \frac{e_0(c,b)f(b) - e_0(c,a)f(a)}{h_1(b,a)},$$

where $h_1(b,a) = \int_a^b 1 d_\alpha s$ for $d_\alpha s := ds/\kappa_0(s)$.

The following fundamental theorem was given in [1, Lemma 1.9 (ii)], but we supply a more rigorous proof here as Rolle's Theorem and the Mean Value Theorem above are new.

Theorem 2.6 (Fundamental Theorem of Integral Calculus)**.** *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. Suppose $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on $[a,b]$ and f' is integrable on $[a,b]$. Then*

$$\int_a^b D^\alpha[f(t)]e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t = f(b) - f(a)e_0(b,a),$$

where $d_\alpha t := dt/\kappa_0(t)$.

Proof. Let P be any partition of $[a,b]$, $P = \{t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n\}$, and recall that $h_1(t,a) = \int_a^t 1 d_\alpha s$. By the Mean Value Theorem 2.5 above applied to f on $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, there exist $c_i \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ such that

$$D^\alpha f(c_i) = \frac{e_0(c_i,t_i)f(t_i) - e_0(c_i,t_{i-1})f(t_{i-1})}{h_1(t_i,t_{i-1})},$$

or equivalently

$$D^\alpha[f(c_i)]e_0(b,c_i)h_1(t_i,t_{i-1}) = e_0(b,t_i)f(t_i) - e_0(b,t_{i-1})f(t_{i-1}).$$

After forming the Riemann-Stieltjes sum

$$S(P,f,\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} D^{\alpha}[f(c_i)]e_0(b,c_i)\left(\mu(t_i)-\mu(t_{i-1})\right), \quad \mu(t):=\int_a^t 1 d_\alpha s = h_1(t,a),$$

we see that

$$S(P,f,\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_0(b,t_i)f(t_i)-e_0(b,t_{i-1})f(t_{i-1}) = f(b)-e_0(b,a)f(a).$$

Since P was arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b D^{\alpha}[f(t)]e_0(b,t)d\mu(t) &= \int_a^b D^{\alpha}[f(t)]e_0(b,t)\mu'(t)dt \\ &= \int_a^b D^{\alpha}[f(t)]e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t \\ &= f(b)-e_0(b,a)f(a).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

For reference, we include the following results [1, Lemma 1.7].

Lemma 2.7 (Basic Derivatives)**.** *Let the conformable differential operator D^α be given as in* (1.2)*, where $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Let the function $p:[s,t]\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $\kappa_0,\kappa_1:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\to[0,\infty)$ be continuous and satisfy* (1.1)*, with p/κ_0 and κ_1/κ_0 Riemann integrable on $[s,t]$. Assume the functions f and g are differentiable as needed. Then*

(i) $D^\alpha[af+bg]=aD^\alpha[f]+bD^\alpha[g]$ *for all* $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$;
(ii) $D^\alpha c = c\kappa_1(t)$ *for all constants* $c\in\mathbb{R}$;
(iii) $D^\alpha[fg]=fD^\alpha[g]+gD^\alpha[f]-fg\kappa_1$;
(iv) $D^\alpha[f/g]=\frac{gD^\alpha[f]-fD^\alpha[g]}{g^2}+\frac{f}{g}\kappa_1$;
(v) *for $\alpha\in(0,1]$ and for the exponential function e_0 given in* (2.1)*, we have*

$$D^\alpha\left[\int_a^t f(s)e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s\right]=f(t), \quad d_\alpha s=\kappa_0^{-1}(s)ds. \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 2.8. A function f has a maximum at $t_0\in[a,b]$ if and only if

$$f(t_0)\geq e_0(t_0,t)f(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[a,b].$$

Similarly, a function f has a minimum at $t_0\in[a,b]$ if and only if

$$f(t_0)\leq e_0(t_0,t)f(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[a,b].$$

Definition 2.9. A function f is α-increasing on an interval $\mathcal{I}$ if

$$e_0(t_1,t_2)f(t_2)\geq f(t_1), \quad \text{whenever} \quad t_2>t_1, \quad t_1,t_2\in\mathcal{I},$$

and is strictly α-increasing if

$$e_0(t_1,t_2)f(t_2)> f(t_1), \quad \text{whenever} \quad t_2>t_1, \quad t_1,t_2\in\mathcal{I}.$$

A function f is α-decreasing on an interval $\mathcal{I}$ if

$$f(t_2) \leq e_0(t_2, t_1) f(t_1), \quad \text{whenever} \quad t_2 > t_1, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{I},$$

and is strictly α-decreasing if

$$f(t_2) < e_0(t_2, t_1) f(t_1), \quad \text{whenever} \quad t_2 > t_1, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Theorem 2.10 (Increasing/Decreasing Test)**.** *Suppose that $D^\alpha f$ exists on some interval $\mathcal{I}$.*

(i) *If $D^\alpha f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, then f is strictly α-increasing on $\mathcal{I}$.*
(ii) *If $D^\alpha f(t) < 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, then f is strictly α-decreasing on $\mathcal{I}$.*

Theorem 2.11 (Concavity Test)**.** *Suppose that $D^\alpha D^\alpha f$ exists on some interval $\mathcal{I}$.*

(i) *If $D^\alpha D^\alpha f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, then the graph of f is concave upward on $\mathcal{I}$.*
(ii) *If $D^\alpha D^\alpha f(t) < 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, then the graph of f is concave downward on $\mathcal{I}$.*

Here concave upward means the curve $y = f(t)$ lies above all of its tangent lines (2.4) *on $\mathcal{I}$, and concave downward means the curve $y = f(t)$ lies below all of its tangent lines* (2.4) *on $\mathcal{I}$.*

Example 2.12. In this example we illustrate the interesting geometry associated with this derivative. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\kappa_1 = \cos(\alpha\pi/2)$, $\kappa_0 = \sin(\alpha\pi/2)$, and let $f(t) = \sin t$. Then

$$D^\alpha[f(t)] = \cos(\alpha\pi/2) \sin t + \sin(\alpha\pi/2) \cos t = \sin\left(t + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right).$$

Setting this equal to zero to determine the critical numbers, we find

$$t_n = n\pi - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that as $\alpha \to 0^+$ we have $t_n = n\pi$, the zeros of the original function $\sin t$; as $\alpha \to 1^-$ we have $t_n = n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2}$, the zeros of the full derivative function $\cos t$, as expected. After noticing that

$$D^\alpha[D^\alpha f](t) = \sin\left(t + \alpha\pi\right),$$

we see that

$$D^\alpha[D^\alpha f](t_n) = \sin\left(n\pi + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) = (-1)^n \sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \begin{cases} < 0 & : n \text{ odd}, \\ > 0 & : n \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Thus $f(t) = \sin t$ has an α-max at t_n when n is odd, and an α-min at t_n when n is even. Since

$$\sin(t_n) = \sin\left(n\pi - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) = (-1)^{n+1} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right),$$

we conclude that $f(t) = \sin t$ has an α-max of $\sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)$ at $(2m+1)\pi - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and an α-min of $-\sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)$ at $2m\pi - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. Self-Adjoint Differential Equations

Let p and q be continuous functions on some interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $p(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$. In this section we are concerned with the conformable second-order (formally) self-adjoint homogeneous differential equation $Lx = 0$ or for a continuous function h the associated nonhomogeneous equation

$$Lx = h, \quad Lx(t) := D^\alpha \left[pD^\alpha x\right](t) + q(t)x(t) \tag{3.1}$$

for $t \in \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We interpret D^α using (1.2) for κ_0 and κ_1 satisfying (1.1). This operator L is simpler and more useful than the one introduced in [1, (4.1)].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the set of all continuous functions x defined on $\mathcal{I}$ such that $D^\alpha x$ and $D^\alpha \left[pD^\alpha x\right]$ are continuous on $\mathcal{I}$. Then x is a solution of the homogeneous equation $Lx = 0$ for L in (3.1) on $\mathcal{I}$ provided $x \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$Lx(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Next we address the question under which circumstances an equation of the form

$$a(t)D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + b(t)D^\alpha x(t) + c(t)x(t) = g(t)$$

can be rewritten in self-adjoint form (3.1), where we assume that $a \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$ and a, b, c, g are continuous functions on $\mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume κ_0, κ_1 satisfy (1.1), and $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$. If $a, b, c, g : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions with $a \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, then the iterated conformable equation*

$$a(t)D^\alpha D^\alpha x + b(t)D^\alpha x + c(t)x = g(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{3.2}$$

can be written in self-adjoint form $Lx = h$, where $h = gp/a$ for

$$p(t) = e_{(\frac{b}{a}+\kappa_1)}(t, t_0), \quad q(t) = p(t)c(t)/a(t), \tag{3.3}$$

for $t \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Assume x is a solution of (3.2). By (3.3), after suppressing the arguments, we see that

$$pb/a = D^\alpha p - \kappa_1 p. \tag{3.4}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.2) by p/a and using (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{gp}{a} = pD^\alpha D^\alpha x + \frac{pb}{a}D^\alpha x + \frac{pc}{a}x \\ &= pD^\alpha[D^\alpha x] + (D^\alpha p - \kappa_1 p)D^\alpha x + qx \\ &= D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x] + qx = Lx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Example 3.3. Let L be as in (3.1), and let κ_0, κ_1 satisfy (1.1). In (3.2) choose the constant coefficients $a \equiv 1$, $b \equiv 0$, and $c \equiv \pm\omega^2$ for constant $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\omega > 0$, and $g \equiv 0$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.2 we have

$$p(t) \equiv 1, \quad q(t) = \pm\omega^2,$$

and the homogeneous self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ takes the form

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) \pm \omega^2 x(t) = 0.$$

Using [1, Theorem 3.1], this has characteristic equation $\lambda^2 \pm \omega^2 = 0$ and solutions

$$\begin{aligned} x_+(t) &= c_1 e_0(t,t_0)\cos\left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega d_\alpha s\right) + c_2 e_0(t,t_0)\sin\left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega d_\alpha s\right), \\ x_-(t) &= c_1 e_\omega(t,t_0) + c_2 e_{-\omega}(t,t_0). \end{aligned}$$

These solutions suggest the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \cos_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) &= e_0(t,t_0)\cos\left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega d_\alpha s\right), \quad \sin_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = e_0(t,t_0)\sin\left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega d_\alpha s\right), \\ \cosh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) &= \frac{e_\omega(t,t_0) + e_{-\omega}(t,t_0)}{2}, \quad \sinh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = \frac{e_\omega(t,t_0) - e_{-\omega}(t,t_0)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the equations

$$D^\alpha \cos_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = -\omega \sin_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0), \quad D^\alpha \sin_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = \omega \cos_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0),$$

$$D^\alpha \cosh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = \omega \sinh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0), \quad D^\alpha \sinh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) = \omega \cosh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0)$$

as well as

$$\cos_\alpha^2(\omega;t,t_0) + \sin_\alpha^2(\omega;t,t_0) = e_0^2(t,t_0) = \cosh_\alpha^2(\omega;t,t_0) - \sinh_\alpha^2(\omega;t,t_0)$$

all hold. In summary,

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + \omega^2 x(t) = 0$$

has general solution

$$x(t) = c_1 \cos_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) + c_2 \sin_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0),$$

and

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) - \omega^2 x(t) = 0$$

has general solution

$$x(t) = c_1 \cosh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0) + c_2 \sinh_\alpha(\omega;t,t_0).$$

This ends the example. △

We next state a theorem concerning the existence-uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for the nonhomogeneous self-adjoint equation $Lx = h$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume κ_0, κ_1 satisfy (1.1). Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, and let D^α be as in (1.2). Assume p, q, h are continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ with $p(t) \neq 0$, and suppose $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are given constants. Then the initial value problem*

$$Lx = h(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad D^\alpha x(t_0) = x_1$$

has a unique solution that exists on all of $\mathcal{I}$.

Proof. We will write $Lx = h$ as an equivalent vector equation, and then invoke the standard ($\alpha = 1$) result to complete the argument. Let $x \in \mathbb{D}$ such that x is a solution of $Lx = h$, and set

$$y := pD^\alpha x, \quad D^\alpha x = y/p.$$

Using the fact that x is a solution of $Lx = h$ for L defined in (3.1), we have

$$D^\alpha y = -qx + h.$$

Therefore, if we set the vector

$$z := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix},$$

then z is a solution of the vector equation

$$D^\alpha z = Az + b, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/p \\ -q & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ h \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the definition of D^α in (1.2), we thus have

$$z' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} & \frac{1}{\kappa_0 p} \\ \frac{-q}{\kappa_0} & \frac{-\kappa_1}{\kappa_0} \end{bmatrix} z + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{h}{\kappa_0} \end{bmatrix},$$

and the result follows from the standard ($\alpha = 1$) proof, since all the functions here are continuous; see, for example, [4, Theorem 5.4]. □

Recall the following definition, [1, Definition 4.5].

Definition 3.5 (Wronskian)**.** Let $\kappa_0, \kappa_1 : [0,1] \times \mathcal{I} \to [0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (1.1). If $x, y : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable on $\mathcal{I}$, then the conformable Wronskian of x and y is given by

$$W(x,y)(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \\ D^\alpha x(t) & D^\alpha y(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{3.5}$$

for D^α given in (1.2).

Theorem 3.6 (Conformable Lagrange Identity)**.** *Let L be given as in (3.1). If $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, then*

$$x(Ly) - y(Lx) = D^\alpha[pW(x,y)] + \kappa_1 pW(x,y), \quad t \in \mathcal{I},$$

for L given in (3.1). Equivalently, we have

$$e_0(t,b) D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x,y)(t)}{e_0(t,b)} \right] = x(Ly) - y\,(Lx)\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, using the product rule from Lemma 2.7 we have (suppressing all arguments)

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha[pW(x,y)] &= D^\alpha\left[xpD^\alpha y - ypD^\alpha x\right] \\ &= xD^\alpha\left[pD^\alpha y\right] + p(D^\alpha x)(D^\alpha y) - \kappa_1 pxD^\alpha y \\ &\quad -yD^\alpha\left[pD^\alpha x\right] - p(D^\alpha x)(D^\alpha y) + \kappa_1 pyD^\alpha x \\ &= x(Ly) - y(Lx) - \kappa_1 pW(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{I}$. Additionally, by the quotient rule we see that

$$e_0(t,b)D^\alpha\left[\frac{f(t)}{e_0(t,b)}\right] = D^\alpha f + \kappa_1 f. \tag{3.7}$$

for any differentiable function f, and thus (3.6) holds as well. □

Definition 3.7 (Inner Product)**.** Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and e_0 be as given in (2.1). Define the inner product of (continuous) functions $f, g \in C(\mathcal{I})$ on $[a,b] \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ to be

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t, \quad d_\alpha t := \frac{dt}{\kappa_0(t)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Corollary 3.8 (Green's Formula; Self-Adjoint Operator)**.** *Let L be given as in* (3.1)*. If $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, then Green's formula*

$$\langle x, Ly\rangle - \langle Ly, x\rangle = e_0^2(b,t)p(t)W(x,y)(t)\Big|_{t=a}^b \tag{3.9}$$

holds. Moreover, the operator L is formally self-adjoint with respect to the inner product (3.8)*; that is, the identity*

$$\langle Lx, y\rangle = \langle x, Ly\rangle$$

holds if and only if $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ and x, y satisfy the self-adjoint boundary conditions

$$e_0^2(b,t)p(t)W(x,y)(t)\Big|_{t=a}^b = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

where we have used the conformable Wronskian matrix from Definition 3.5*.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.6 we have the Lagrange identity (3.6) given by

$$e_0(t,b)D^\alpha\left[\frac{pW(x,y)(t)}{e_0(t,b)}\right] = x(Ly) - y\left(Lx\right).$$

If we multiply both sides of this by $e_0^2(b,t)$ and integrate from a to b we obtain

$$\int_a^b D^\alpha\left[\frac{pW(x,y)(t)}{e_0(t,b)}\right]e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t = \langle x, Ly\rangle - \langle Ly, x\rangle.$$

By Theorem 2.6 we have

$$\int_a^b D^\alpha\left[\frac{pW(x,y)(t)}{e_0(t,b)}\right]e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t = e_0(b,t)\frac{pW(x,y)(t)}{e_0(t,b)}\Big|_{t=a}^b,$$

so that Green's formula (3.9) holds. Thus $\langle x, Ly\rangle = \langle Ly, x\rangle$ if and only if $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfy the self-adjoint boundary conditions (3.10). □

Corollary 3.9 (Abel's Formula)**.** *Let L be given as in* (3.1)*. If x, y are solutions of $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, then for fixed $b \in \mathcal{I}$ we have*

$$W(x, y)(t) = \frac{ce_0^2(t, b)}{p(t)}, \quad t \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $c = p(b)W(x, y)(b)$ is a constant.

Proof. As in (3.6) in the proof of Corollary 3.8, for $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ we have

$$e_0(t, b)D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x, y)(t)}{e_0(t, b)}\right] = x(Ly) - y\,(Lx)\,.$$

If x, y are solutions of (3.1) on $\mathcal{I}$, then $Lx = 0 = Ly$ and

$$e_0(t, b)D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x, y)(t)}{e_0(t, b)}\right] = 0.$$

As a result,

$$D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x, y)(t)}{e_0(t, b)}\right] = 0,$$

so that

$$\frac{p(t)W(x, y)(t)}{e_0(t, b)} = ce_0(t, b),$$

where c is the constant $c = p(b)W(x, y)(b)$. □

Corollary 3.10. *If x, y are solutions of* (3.1) *on $\mathcal{I}$, then either $W(x, y)(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, or $W(x, y)(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Theorem 3.11. *Equation* (3.1) *on $\mathcal{I}$ has two linearly independent solutions, and every solution of* (3.1) *on $\mathcal{I}$ is a linear combination of these two solutions.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the $\alpha = 1$ case; see [4, Theorem 5.11]. □

Theorem 3.12 (Converse of Abel's Formula)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*, and let x be a solution of $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$ such that $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. If $y \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $W(x, y)(t) = \frac{ce_0^2(t,b)}{p(t)}$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then y is also a solution of $Lx = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ such that $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and assume $y \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $W(x, y)(t) = ce_0^2(t, b)/p(t)$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. By the Lagrange identity (Theorem 3.6) and Lagrange's formula (3.6) we have for $t \in \mathcal{I}$ that

$$x(Ly)(t) - y(Lx)(t) = e_0(t, b)D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x, y)(t)}{e_0(t, b)}\right] = e_0(t, b)D^\alpha \left[\frac{ce_0^2(t, b)}{e_0(t, b)}\right] \equiv 0;$$

since $Lx = 0$, this yields $x(Ly)(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in \mathcal{I}$. As $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, $(Ly)(t) \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Thus y is also a solution of $Lx = 0$. □

Before we end this section, we include a result on the Wronskian for solutions of the homogeneous version of the general second-order conformable proportional differential equation (3.2).

Theorem 3.13 (Liouville's Theorem)**.** *Assume κ_0, κ_1 satisfy* (1.1)*. Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, and let D^α be as in* (1.2)*. In* (3.2) *assume a, b, c are continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ with $a(t) \neq 0$, and $g \equiv 0$. If x, y are solutions of the resulting general homogeneous equation*

$$a(t)D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + b(t)D^\alpha x(t) + c(t)x(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{3.11}$$

then their Wronskian (3.5) *satisfies Liouville's formula*

$$W(x,y)(t) = W(x,y)(t_0)e_{(-b/a-\kappa_1)}(t,t_0)$$

for all $t, t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. If x, y are solutions of (3.11), then the Wronskian of x and y satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha W &= D^\alpha[xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha x] \\ &= xD^\alpha D^\alpha y + (D^\alpha x)(D^\alpha y) - \kappa_1 xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha D^\alpha x - (D^\alpha x)(D^\alpha y) + \kappa_1 yD^\alpha x \\ &= x\left(-\frac{b}{a}D^\alpha y - \frac{c}{a}y\right) - y\left(-\frac{b}{a}D^\alpha x - \frac{c}{a}x\right) - \kappa_1 W \\ &= -\frac{b}{a}W - \kappa_1 W \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{I}$. By (2.2), we see that $W(x,y)(t) = W(x,y)(t_0)e_{(-b/a-\kappa_1)}(t,t_0)$, and the result holds. □

4. Reduction of Order Theorems

In this section we establish two related reduction of order theorems for the conformable self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ for L given in (3.1), and one for the general second-order equation.

Theorem 4.1 (Reduction of Order I)**.** *Let $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, and assume x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ for L given in* (3.1) *with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Then*

$$y(t) := x(t)\int_{t_0}^t \frac{e_0^2(s,t_0)}{p(s)x^2(s)}d_\alpha s, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}$$

defines a second linearly independent solution y of $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$.

Proof. For y defined above and $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, by the product rule in Lemma 2.7 and [1, Lemma 1.9 (iv)] we have

$$D^\alpha y = x\left[\frac{e_0^2(t,t_0)}{px^2} + \kappa_1\frac{y}{x}\right] + (D^\alpha x)\frac{y}{x} - \kappa_1 y = \frac{e_0^2(t,t_0)}{px} + \frac{y}{x}(D^\alpha x), \tag{4.1}$$

which is continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ since $x \in \mathbb{D}$, $x \neq 0$, and $p > 0$ is continuous. For the conformable Wronskian W given in Definition 3.5, using (4.1) we have

$$W(x,y) = xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha x = e_0^2(t,t_0)/p + yD^\alpha x - yD^\alpha x = e_0^2(t,t_0)/p. \tag{4.2}$$

Now, continuing from (4.1) we have

$$pD^\alpha y = \frac{e_0^2(\cdot,t_0)}{x} + \frac{y}{x}p(D^\alpha x),$$

from which we see that

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha[pD^\alpha y] &= D^\alpha[e_0^2/x + p(D^\alpha x)y/x] \\ &= D^\alpha[e_0^2/x] + p(D^\alpha x)D^\alpha[y/x] + D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x]y/x - \kappa_1 p(D^\alpha x)y/x \\ &= D^\alpha[e_0^2/x] + p(D^\alpha x)\left[\frac{e_0^2}{px^2} + \kappa_1 y/x\right] + D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x]y/x - \kappa_1 p(D^\alpha x)y/x \\ &= D^\alpha[e_0^2/x] + \frac{e_0^2}{x^2}(D^\alpha x) + D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x]y/x \\ &= -\frac{e_0^2}{x^2}(D^\alpha x) + \frac{e_0^2}{x^2}(D^\alpha x) + D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x]y/x \\ &= -qy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $y \in \mathbb{D}$, and by Theorem 3.12, y is also a linearly independent solution of $Lx = 0$. □

Theorem 4.2 (Reduction of Order II)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*, let $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, and assume x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Then y is a second linearly independent solution of $Lx = 0$ iff y satisfies the first-order equation*

$$D^\alpha[y/x](t) - \kappa_1(t)[y/x](t) = \frac{ce_0^2(t,t_0)}{p(t)x^2(t)}, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \quad t \geq t_0, \tag{4.3}$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ iff y is of the form

$$y(t) = c_1 x(t) + c_2 x(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{e_0^2(s,t_0)}{p(s)x^2(s)} d_\alpha s \tag{4.4}$$

for $t \in \mathcal{I}$ with $t \geq t_0$, where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. In the latter case,

$$c_1 = y(t_0)/x(t_0), \qquad c_2 = p(t_0)W(x,y)(t_0). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Assume x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Let y be any solution of $Lx = 0$; we must show y is of the form (4.4). Using the Wronskian from Definition 3.5, set

$$c_2 := p(t_0)W(x,y)(t_0) = p(t_0)(xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha x)(t_0).$$

By Abel's formula, Corollary 3.9, we must have

$$W(x,y)(t) = \frac{c_2 e_0^2(t,t_0)}{p(t)}, \quad t \in \mathcal{I},$$

so that

$$\frac{xD^\alpha y - y(D^\alpha x)}{x^2} + \kappa_1 \frac{y}{x} = \frac{c_2 e_0^2(t,t_0)}{px^2} + \kappa_1 \frac{y}{x}.$$

Thus, we see that

$$D^\alpha[y/x] = \frac{c_2 e_0^2(t,t_0)}{px^2} + \kappa_1 \frac{y}{x} \tag{4.6}$$

and y satisfies (4.3). Integrating both sides of (4.3) from t_0 to t we get

$$y(t)/x(t) - y(t_0)/x(t_0) = c_2 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{e_0^2(s,t_0)}{p(s)x^2(s)} d_\alpha s;$$

recovering y yields

$$y(t) = c_1 x(t) + c_2 x(t) \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{e_0^2(s,t_0)}{p(s)x^2(s)} d_\alpha s$$

provided $c_1 = y(t_0)/x(t_0)$.

Conversely, assume y is given by (4.4). By Theorem 4.1 and linearity y is a solution of $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$ for $t \geq t_0$. Setting $t = t_0$ in (4.4) leads to c_1 in (4.5). By Abel's formula, Corollary 3.9, the Wronskian satisfies

$$W(x,y)(t) = \frac{e_0^2(t,t_0)p(t_0)W(x,y)(t_0)}{p(t)};$$

to calculate $W(x,y)(t_0)$, we use (4.4) to obtain

$$W(x,y)(t_0) = (xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha x)(t_0) = \frac{c_2}{p(t_0)}.$$

This ends the proof. □

In a similar way we can prove a reduction of order theorem for the general second-order homogeneous equation.

Theorem 4.3 (Reduction of Order)**.** *Let $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, and let the coefficient functions a, b, c be continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ with $a(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$. Further, assume x is a solution of the general equation* (3.11) *with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. Then*

$$y(t) := x(t) \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{e_{(-b/a-\kappa_1)}(s,t_0)}{x^2(s)} d_\alpha s, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}$$

defines a second linearly independent solution y of (3.11) *on $\mathcal{I}$.*

5. Cauchy Function and Variation of Constants Formula

In this section discuss the Cauchy function and derive a variation of constants formula for the conformable nonhomogeneous self-adjoint differential equation $Lx = h$ for L given in (3.1), where we assume h is a continuous function on some interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The following theorem follows from a standard argument, using the linearity of D^α in (1.2) and Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 5.1. *If x_1 and x_2 are linearly independent solutions of the conformable homogeneous equation $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and y is a particular solution of the conformable nonhomogeneous equation $Lx = h$ on $\mathcal{I}$, then*

$$x = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + y$$

is a general solution of $Lx = h$ for constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following definition was given in [1, Definition 4.11], and is included here for completeness.

Definition 5.2 (Cauchy Function)**.** Let L be as in (3.1). A function $x : \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$ provided for each fixed $s \in \mathcal{I}$, $x(\cdot, s)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$Lx(\cdot, s) = 0, \quad x(s,s) = 0, \quad D^\alpha x(s,s) = \frac{1}{p(s)}.$$

It is easy to verify the following example.

Example 5.3. If $q, h \equiv 0$ in (3.1), then the Cauchy function for

$$D^\alpha \left[pD^\alpha x\right](t) = 0$$

is given by

$$x(t,s) = e_0(t,s) \int_s^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau$$

for all $t, s \in \mathcal{I}$. △

For L in (3.1), a formula for the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$ is given in the next theorem, whose proof is very similar to that given for a different equation in [1, Theorem 4.13], and thus the proof is omitted.

Theorem 5.4. *If u and v are linearly independent solutions of $Lx = 0$ for L in (3.1), then the Cauchy function $x(t,s)$ for $Lx = 0$ is given by*

$$x(t,s) = \frac{u(s)v(t) - v(s)u(t)}{p(s)[u(s)D^\alpha v(s) - v(s)D^\alpha u(s)]} \quad \text{for} \quad t, s \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.5 (Variation of Constants Formula)**.** *Assume h is continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ and $a \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $x(t,s)$ be the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$ for L in (3.1). Then*

$$x(t) = \int_a^t x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s, \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \tag{5.2}$$

is the solution of the initial value problem

$$Lx = h(t), \quad x(a) = 0, \quad D^\alpha x(a) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $x(t,s)$ be the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$, and set

$$x(t) = \int_a^t x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s.$$

Note that $x(a) = 0$. Taking the conformable derivative D^α of x and using [1, Lemma 1.9 (iv)], we get that

$$D^\alpha x(t) = x(t,t)h(t) + \int_a^t D^\alpha[x(t,s)]h(s)d_\alpha s = \int_a^t D^\alpha[x(t,s)]h(s)d_\alpha s, \tag{5.3}$$

since the Cauchy function satisfies $x(t,t) = 0$. Note that in the integral, D^α denotes the derivative with respect to the first variable t; thus $D^\alpha x(a) = 0$. From (5.2) and (5.3),

$$pD^\alpha x(t) = \int_a^t p(t)D^\alpha[x(t,s)]h(s)d_\alpha s, \tag{5.4}$$

and we conclude, using [1, Lemma 1.9 (iv)] again, that

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha \left[pD^\alpha x\right](t) &= p(t)D^\alpha[x(t,t)]h(t) + \int_a^t D^\alpha \left[p(t)D^\alpha x(t,s)\right] h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= h(t) - \int_a^t q(t)x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= h(t) - q(t)x(t), \end{aligned}$$

by all of the properties of the Cauchy function. Consequently, $Lx(t) = h(t)$. □

6. Riccati equation

Now we will consider the associated conformable Riccati differential equation

$$Rz = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad Rz := D^\alpha z + q + \frac{z^2}{p} - \kappa_1 z, \tag{6.1}$$

where p and q are continuous functions on $\mathcal{I}$ such that $p(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 6.1. Denote by $\mathbb{D}_R$ the set of all differentiable functions z such that $D^\alpha z(t)$ is continuous for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$. A function $z \in \mathbb{D}_R$ is a solution of (6.1) on $\mathcal{I}$ if and only if $Rz(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$.

Example 6.2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and let κ_0, κ_1 satisfy (1.1). Furthermore, let $\theta_-, \theta_+ \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\theta_- < 0 < \theta_+$ such that

$$h_1(\theta_-, 0) := \int_0^{\theta_-} 1 d_\alpha s = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad h_1(\theta_+, 0) := \int_0^{\theta_+} 1 d_\alpha s = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then the solution of the initial value problem

$$Rz = 0, \quad Rz := D^\alpha z + 1 + z^2 - \kappa_1 z, \quad z(0) = 0$$

is given by

$$z(t) = -\tan\left(h_1(t, 0)\right), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} := (\theta_-, \theta_+).$$

Here we have solved (6.1) with $p \equiv q \equiv 1$. △

Theorem 6.3 (Factorization Theorem)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*, R as in* (6.1)*, and let $x \in \mathbb{D}$ with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$. If z is defined by*

$$z = \frac{pD^\alpha x}{x} \tag{6.2}$$

on $\mathcal{I}$, then $Lx = xRz$ on $\mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Assume $x \in \mathbb{D}$ with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and let z have the form (6.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} Lx &= D^\alpha\left[pD^\alpha x\right] + qx \overset{(6.2)}{=} D^\alpha[zx] + qx = zD^\alpha x + xD^\alpha z - \kappa_1 zx + qx \\ &\overset{(6.2)}{=} z\left(\frac{zx}{p}\right) + xD^\alpha z - \kappa_1 zx + qx \overset{(6.1)}{=} xRz \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{I}$. □

Definition 6.4. A solution x of

$$Lx(t) = D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x](t) + q(t)x(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{6.3}$$

has a zero at $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $x(t_0) = 0$. Equation (6.3) is disconjugate on $\mathcal{I}$ iff no nontrivial solution has two (or more) zeros on $\mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let L be as in* (3.1)*, R as in* (6.1)*. Then the self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ has a solution without zeros on $\mathcal{I}$ if and only if the Riccati equation $Rz = 0$ has a solution z on $\mathcal{I}$. Moreover, these two solutions satisfy* (6.2) *on $\mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. First assume $Lx = 0$ has a solution $x \in \mathbb{D}$ with $x \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and let z have the form (6.2). By Theorem 6.3 we have $xRz = Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, making z a solution of (6.1) on $\mathcal{I}$.

Conversely, let z be a solution of (6.1) on $\mathcal{I}$. Then (z/p) is continuous, and we let

$$x = e_{(z/p)}(t, t_0), \quad t_0 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then x is well defined and never zero. Note also that $D^\alpha x = zx/p$ is continuous, and if we solve this equation for z we get (6.2). Furthermore,

$$D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x] \overset{(6.2)}{=} D^\alpha[zx] = zD^\alpha x + xD^\alpha z - \kappa_1 zx$$

is continuous on $\mathcal{I}$, putting $x \in \mathbb{D}$. Moreover,

$$D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x] = zD^\alpha x + x(D^\alpha z - \kappa_1 z) = z^2 x/p + x(-q - z^2/p) = -qx.$$

This shows that x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, completing the proof. □

7. Quadratic Functional and Picone Identities

We are now interested in exploring the connection between the disconjugacy (Definition 6.4) of the equation $Lx = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$ for L in (6.3), and the positive definiteness of a certain related quadratic functional. To this end, for $a, b \in \mathcal{I}$, we define the set of admissible functions $\mathbb{A}$ to be

$$\mathbb{A} := \left\{\eta \in \mathrm{C}^1([a,b], \mathbb{R})\backslash\{0\} : \eta(a) = \eta(b) = 0\right\}.$$

Here $\mathrm{C}^1([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of all continuous functions whose regular derivatives are piece-wise continuous. Then we define the quadratic functional $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{A}$ via

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) \;=\; \int_a^b \left[p(D^\alpha \eta)^2 - q\eta^2\right](t) e_0^2(b,t) d_\alpha t. \tag{7.1}$$

Definition 7.1. The functional $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{A}$ (write $\mathcal{F} > 0$) provided $\mathcal{F}(\eta) \geq 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$, and $\mathcal{F}(\eta) = 0$ iff $\eta = 0$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let u be a solution of (6.3) on $[a,b]$, and let $c, d \in [a,b]$ with $a \leq c < d \leq b$. Then for*

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} u(t) & : t \in (c,d) \\ 0 & : t \notin (c,d) \end{cases}$$

we have $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \frac{[puD^\alpha u](d)}{e_0^2(d,b)} - \frac{[puD^\alpha u](c)}{e_0^2(c,b)}.$$

Proof. Let u, η be as described in the statement of the lemma. It is apparent that $\eta \in \mathrm{C}^1([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ with $\eta(a) = 0$ and $\eta(b) = 0$, putting $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$. Now consider $\mathcal{F}(\eta)$ for $\mathcal{F}$ in (7.1). We have

$$\eta = 0 = D^\alpha \eta \quad \text{on} \quad [a,c) \cup (d,b],$$

so

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \int_c^d \left[p(D^\alpha u)^2 - qu^2\right](t) e_0^2(b,t) d_\alpha t,$$

giving us

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \int_c^d (e_0(b,d)e_0(b,t)pD^\alpha u)(D^\alpha u)(t)e_0(d,t)d_\alpha t - \int_c^d (qu^2)(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t.$$

Using the integration by parts formula [1, Lemma 1.9 (iii)]

$$\int_c^d D^\alpha[f(t)]g(t)e_0(d,t)d_\alpha t = f(t)g(t)e_0(d,t)\big|_{t=c}^d - \int_c^d f(t)\left(D^\alpha[g] - \kappa_1 g\right)(t)e_0(d,t)d_\alpha t$$

with $u = f$ and $(e_0(b,d)e_0(b,t)pD^\alpha u) = g$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\eta) &= \frac{puD^\alpha u}{e_0^2(t,b)}\Big|_c^d - \int_c^d u\left(D^\alpha\left[\frac{pD^\alpha u}{e_0(\cdot,b)}\right] - \kappa_1\frac{pD^\alpha u}{e_0(\cdot,b)}\right)(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t - \int_c^d (qu^2)(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\ &= \frac{puD^\alpha u}{e_0^2(t,b)}\Big|_c^d - \int_c^d \frac{u(t)}{e_0(t,b)}D^\alpha\left[e_0(\cdot,b)\frac{pD^\alpha u}{e_0(\cdot,b)}\right]e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t - \int_c^d (qu^2)(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equality

$$D^\alpha f(t) - \kappa_1(t)f(t) = \frac{1}{e_0(t,b)}D^\alpha\left[e_0(\cdot,b)f\right](t). \tag{7.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \frac{puD^\alpha u}{e_0^2(t,b)}\Big|_c^d - \int_c^d u(t)\left(D^\alpha[pD^\alpha u] + qu\right)(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t;$$

as u is a solution of (6.3), we have

$$Lu = D^\alpha[pD^\alpha u] + qu = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \frac{puD^\alpha u}{e_0^2(t,b)}\Big|_c^d - \int_c^d u(t)\left(Lu\right)(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t = \frac{puD^\alpha u}{e_0^2(t,b)}\Big|_c^d,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Theorem 7.3 (Picone Identity I)**.** *Assume the Riccati equation* (6.1) *has a solution z on $[a,b]$. Then the conformable self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ for L in* (3.1) *has a solution x that is nonzero on $[a,b]$, and for any admissible function $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$, on $[a,b]$ we have the equality*

$$D^\alpha[z\eta^2] + \kappa_1 z\eta^2 = p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 - q\eta^2 - p(D^\alpha\eta - z\eta/p)^2.$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the assumptions and Theorem 6.5. For any $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$, we have on $[a,b]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}D^\alpha[z\eta^2] + \kappa_1 z\eta^2 &= \eta D^\alpha[z\eta] + z\eta D^\alpha[\eta] \\ &= \eta[zD^\alpha\eta + \eta D^\alpha z - \kappa_1 z\eta] + z\eta D^\alpha\eta \\ &\overset{(6.1)}{=} 2z\eta D^\alpha\eta + \eta^2(-q - z^2/p) \\ &= p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 - p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 + 2z\eta D^\alpha\eta - q\eta^2 - pz^2\eta^2/p^2 \\ &= p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 - q\eta^2 - p\left(D^\alpha\eta - \frac{z\eta}{p}\right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

Remark 7.4. Another Picone identity is possible, this one for the system of self-adjoint conformable equations given by

$$D^\alpha[p_1 D^\alpha x](t) + q_1(t)x(t) = 0, \tag{7.3}$$
$$D^\alpha[p_2 D^\alpha x](t) + q_2(t)x(t) = 0, \tag{7.4}$$

where p_j and q_j are continuous functions on the interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $p_j(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, for $j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 7.5 (Picone Identity II)**.** *Let p_j and q_j be continuous functions on the interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $p_j(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Assume u and v are solutions of* (7.3) *and* (7.4)*, respectively, with $v(t) \neq 0$ on $[a, b] \subset \mathcal{I}$. Then we have the equality*

$$D^\alpha\left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right] = (q_2 - q_1)u^2 + (p_1 - p_2)(D^\alpha u)^2 + p_2\left(D^\alpha u - \frac{uD^\alpha v}{v}\right)^2. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Assume u and v are solutions of (7.3) and (7.4), respectively, with $v(t) \neq 0$ on $[a, b] \subset \mathcal{I}$. For $t \in [a, b] \subset \mathcal{I}$, we use the alpha product rule on $\frac{u}{v} \cdot (vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v)$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^\alpha\left[\frac{u}{v}\left(vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v\right)\right] + \kappa_1 \frac{u}{v}\left(vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v\right) \\
&= \frac{u}{v}\left\{vD^\alpha[p_1 D^\alpha u] + p_1 D^\alpha u D^\alpha v - uD^\alpha[p_2 D^\alpha v] - p_2 D^\alpha u D^\alpha v\right\} + D^\alpha\left[\frac{u}{v}\right]\left(vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v\right) \\
&= uD^\alpha[p_1 D^\alpha u] - \frac{u^2}{v} D^\alpha[p_2 D^\alpha v] + \frac{u}{v}(p_1 - p_2) D^\alpha u D^\alpha v \\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{D^\alpha u}{v} - \frac{uD^\alpha v}{v^2} + \frac{\kappa_1 u}{v}\right)\left(vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since u and v are solutions of (7.3) and (7.4), respectively, we can simplify both sides of the expression above to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^\alpha\left[\frac{u}{v}\left(vp_1 D^\alpha u - up_2 D^\alpha v\right)\right] \\
&= (q_2 - q_1)u^2 + \frac{u}{v}(p_1 - p_2) D^\alpha u D^\alpha v + \left(D^\alpha u - \frac{uD^\alpha v}{v}\right)\left(p_1 D^\alpha u - \frac{u}{v} p_2 D^\alpha v\right) \\
&= (q_2 - q_1)u^2 + (p_1 - p_2)(D^\alpha u)^2 + p_2\left((D^\alpha u)^2 - \frac{2u}{v} D^\alpha u D^\alpha v + \frac{u^2 (D^\alpha v)^2}{v^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, equality (7.5) holds and the proof is complete. □

Using the Picone Identity II from Theorem 7.5 above, we prove the following conformable Sturm comparison result.

Theorem 7.6 (Sturm Comparison Theorem)**.** *Let p_j and q_j be continuous functions on the interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $p_j(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Assume u is a solution of* (7.3) *with consecutive zeros at $a < b$ in $\mathcal{I}$, and assume that*

$$q_2(t) \geq q_1(t), \quad p_1(t) \geq p_2(t) > 0, \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{7.6}$$

Let v be a nontrivial solution of (7.4). If for some $t \in [a,b]$ one of the inequalities in (7.6) is strict, or if u and v are linearly independent on $[a,b]$, then v has a zero in (a,b).

Proof. Let u be a solution of (7.3) with consecutive zeros at $a < b$ in $\mathcal{I}$, but assume the conclusion of the theorem does not hold. To wit, assume v is a solution of (7.4) with

$$v(t) \neq 0, \quad t \in (a,b).$$

Assume $a < c < d < b$, and multiply Picone Identity II in (7.5) by $e_0(d,t)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} &D^\alpha \left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right](t) e_0(d,t) \\ &= \left\{(q_2 - q_1)u^2 + (p_1 - p_2)(D^\alpha u)^2 + p_2\left(D^\alpha u - \frac{uD^\alpha v}{v}\right)^2\right\}(t) e_0(d,t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this from c to d and employing Theorem 2.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right](t) e_0(d,t)\Big|_{t=c}^{d} \\ &= \int_c^d \left\{(q_2 - q_1)u^2 + (p_1 - p_2)(D^\alpha u)^2 + p_2\left(D^\alpha u - \frac{uD^\alpha v}{v}\right)^2\right\}(t) e_0(d,t) d_\alpha t. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

From the inequalities in (7.6) and the assumption that for some $t \in [a,b]$ one of the inequalities in (7.6) is strict, or that u and v are linearly independent on $[a,b]$, we take limits in (7.7) as $c \to a^+$ and $d \to b^-$ to see that

$$\lim_{c \to a^+,\, d \to b^-} \left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right](t) e_0(d,t)\Big|_{t=c}^{d} > 0.$$

We will thus arrive at a contradiction if these two limits are zero. We will prove only the case where $c \to a^+$, as the other case is similar and thus omitted. Now if $v(a) \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{c \to a^+} \left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right](c) e_0(d,c) = 0$$

as $u(a) = 0$. If $v(a) = 0$, however, then $D^\alpha v(a) \neq 0$, since by Theorem 3.4, only the trivial solution satisfies (7.4) with initial conditions $x(a) = 0$, $D^\alpha x(a) = 0$, and v is nontrivial. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{c \to a^+} \left[\frac{u}{v}\left(p_1 v D^\alpha u - p_2 u D^\alpha v\right)\right](c) e_0(d,c) = -e_0(d,a) \lim_{c \to a^+} \left[\frac{u^2 p_2 D^\alpha v}{v}\right](c) \\ &\overset{\text{L'H}}{=} -e_0(d,a) \lim_{c \to a^+} \left[\frac{u^2 D^\alpha [p_2 D^\alpha v] + (p_2 D^\alpha v) D^\alpha [u^2] - \kappa_1 u^2 p_2 D^\alpha v}{D^\alpha v}\right](c) \\ &= -e_0(d,a) \lim_{c \to a^+} \left[\frac{-q_2 uv + 2p_2 D^\alpha u D^\alpha v - 2\kappa_1 u p_2 D^\alpha v}{D^\alpha v}\right](c) u(c) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

using L'Hôpital's Rule. This rule is valid in this case; suppose f and g are continuously differentiable at a such that $f(a) = 0 = g(a)$ with $D^\alpha g(a) \neq 0$. Then

$$\lim_{c \to a} \frac{f(c)}{g(c)} = \lim_{c \to a} \frac{\kappa_1(c) f(a) + \kappa_0(c) \frac{f(c) - f(a)}{c - a}}{\kappa_1(c) g(a) + \kappa_0(c) \frac{g(c) - g(a)}{c - a}} = \frac{\kappa_1(a) f(a) + \kappa_0(a) f'(a)}{\kappa_1(a) g(a) + \kappa_0(a) g'(a)} = \frac{D^\alpha f(a)}{D^\alpha g(a)}.$$

This ends the proof. □

Definition 7.7. Let L be as in (6.3) with p, q continuous and $p > 0$, and consider the interval $[a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$ with $b \leq \infty$. Then $Lx = 0$ is oscillatory on $[a, b)$ provided every nontrivial real-valued solution of $Lx = 0$ has infinitely many zeros in $[a, b)$, and $Lx = 0$ is nonoscillatory if it is not oscillatory.

The following corollary to the Sturm Comparison Theorem, Theorem 7.6, relates certain behaviors of solutions to (7.3) and (7.4) in terms of disconjugacy (Definition 6.4) and oscillation (Definition 7.7). The proof is omitted.

Corollary 7.8. *Let p_j and q_j be continuous functions on the interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $p_j(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Assume that*

$$q_2(t) \geq q_1(t), \quad p_1(t) \geq p_2(t) > 0, \quad t \in J \subset \mathcal{I}. \tag{7.8}$$

If (7.4) *is disconjugate on J, then* (7.3) *is disconjugate on J. If* (7.3) *is oscillatory on J, then* (7.4) *is oscillatory on J.*

Example 7.9. We show that if

$$0 < p(t) \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q(t) \geq \omega^2 > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

then the homogeneous self-adjoint equation (6.3) is oscillatory on $\mathbb{R}$. To prove this we will refer to Corollary 7.8. Assume κ_0, κ_1 satisfy (1.1) such that

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^{b} 1 d_\alpha t = \infty.$$

In (3.2) choose the constant coefficients $a \equiv 1$, $b \equiv 0$, and $c \equiv \omega^2$ for constant $\omega > 0$, and $g \equiv 0$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.2 we have

$$p_1(t) \equiv 1, \quad q_1(t) = \omega^2,$$

and the homogeneous self-adjoint equation (7.3) simplifies, as shown in Example 3.3, to

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + \omega^2 x(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with oscillatory solutions of the form

$$x(t) = c_1 \cos_\alpha(\omega; t, t_0) + c_2 \sin_\alpha(\omega; t, t_0), \quad t, t_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

since $\omega > 0$. Therefore, by Corollary 7.8, the homogeneous self-adjoint equation (6.3) is oscillatory on $\mathbb{R}$. △

8. Reid Roundabout Theorem

The earlier results of the paper culminate here with the following roundabout theorem; this roundabout theorem generalizes and extends results found in the classical case by Reid [8, Theorem 2.1], which can be recovered by setting $\alpha = 1$.

Theorem 8.1 (Reid Roundabout Theorem)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*, and let $p > 0$ and q be continuous functions on $[a, b] \subset \mathcal{I}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (*i*) *There is a solution x of the self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ with $x \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$.*
- (*ii*) *There is a solution z of the Riccati equation* (6.1) *on $[a, b]$.*
- (*iii*) *The quadratic functional $\mathcal{F}$ given in* (7.1) *is positive definite on $\mathbb{A}$.*
- (*iv*) *The self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$ is disconjugate on $[a, b]$.*
- (*v*) *The solution u of $Lx = 0$ satisfying initial conditions $u(a) = 0$ and $D^\alpha u(a) = 1/p(a)$ is nonzero on $(a, b]$.*
- (*vi*) *The solution v of $Lx = 0$ satisfying initial conditions $v(b) = 0$ and $D^\alpha v(b) = 1/p(b)$ is nonzero on $[a, b)$.*

Proof. Note that (*i*) $\iff$ (*ii*) by Theorem 6.5.

(*ii*) $\implies$ (*iii*): From Theorem 6.5 there exists a solution x of $Lx = 0$ that is nonzero on $[a, b]$. Let z be given by (6.2); by Theorem 6.5, z is a solution of (6.1) on $[a, b]$. By Picone Identity I (Theorem 7.3), for any $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$ on $[a, b]$ we have

$$D^\alpha[z\eta^2] + \kappa_1 z\eta^2 = p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 - q\eta^2 - p(D^\alpha\eta - z\eta/p)^2; \tag{8.1}$$

using (3.7), we have that (8.1) becomes

$$e_0(t, b)D^\alpha\left[\frac{z\eta^2}{e_0(t, b)}\right] = p(D^\alpha\eta)^2 - q\eta^2 - p(D^\alpha\eta - z\eta/p)^2.$$

As the equation holds on $[a, b]$, we may multiply both sides by $e_0^2(b, t)$ and integrate from a to b to obtain

$$\int_a^b D^\alpha\left[\frac{z\eta^2}{e_0(t, b)}\right] e_0(b, t)d_\alpha t = \mathcal{F}(\eta) - \int_a^b p(D^\alpha\eta - z\eta/p)^2(t)e_0^2(b, t)d_\alpha t.$$

Since η is admissible, we have by Theorem 2.6 that

$$\int_a^b D^\alpha\left[\frac{z\eta^2}{e_0(t, b)}\right] e_0(b, t)d_\alpha t = \frac{z\eta^2(t)}{e_0(t, b)}e_0(b, t)\Big|_{t=a}^b = 0,$$

putting

$$\mathcal{F}(\eta) = \int_a^b p(D^\alpha\eta - z\eta/p)^2(t)e_0^2(b, t)d_\alpha t.$$

As $p > 0$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\eta) \geq 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$. Furthermore, if $\eta \equiv 0$, then $\mathcal{F}(\eta) = 0$. If $\mathcal{F}(\eta) = 0$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$, then on $[a, b]$ we have

$$D^\alpha\eta = z\eta/p.$$

By variation of constants [1, Lemma 1.10] we have that the initial value problem

$$D^\alpha\eta - (z/p)\eta = 0, \qquad \eta(a) = 0$$

has a unique solution on $[a,b]$, namely $\eta \equiv 0$ on $[a,b]$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{A}$.

$(iii) \implies (iv)$: Assume $Lx = 0$ is not disconjugate on $[a,b]$. Then there exists a nontrivial solution u of (6.3) with (at least) two zeros in $[a,b]$; in other words, there exist points $c, d \in [a,b]$ with $a \le c < d \le b$ such that $u(c) = 0 = u(d)$. Define

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} u(t) & : t \in (c,d) \\ 0 & : \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 7.2, $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\eta) = 0$, but $\eta \neq 0$, making $\mathcal{F}$ not positive definite. By the contrapositive argument, the assertion holds.

$(iv) \implies (v)$: Let u be the solution of (3.1) satisfying

$$Lu = 0, \quad u(a) = 0, \quad D^\alpha u(a) = 1/p(a),$$

and assume the equation (6.3) is disconjugate on $[a,b]$. Since $u(a) = 0$, disconjugacy implies $u \neq 0$ on $(a,b]$.

$(iv) \implies (vi)$: Assume (6.3) is disconjugate on $[a,b]$. The initial value problem

$$Lv = 0, \quad v(b) = 0 \quad D^\alpha v(b) = 1/p(b)$$

has a unique solution v by Theorem 3.4. Again $v(b) = 0$, so disconjugacy implies $v \neq 0$ on $[a,b)$.

$(v) \iff (vi)$: Let u and v be the unique solutions of $Lx = 0$ as described in statements (v) and (vi), respectively. By Abel's formula, Corollary 3.9, their Wronskian satisfies

$$W(u,v)(t) = e_0^2(t,a)p(a)W(u,v)(a)/p(t) = -e_0^2(t,a)v(a)/p(t);$$

by employing the initial conditions at a and b we also get

$$-e_0^2(b,a)v(a) = u(b). \tag{8.2}$$

If (v) holds, $u(b) \neq 0$, making $v(a) \neq 0$ also by (8.2). For any $\tau \in (a,b]$, $u \neq 0$ on $[\tau,b]$ implies (i) of this theorem holds on $[\tau,b]$, and thus (ii), (iii), and (iv) likewise hold, as shown above. Consequently, v has no second zero on $[\tau,b)$. By the arbitrary choice of τ and the condition of v at a, (vi) holds overall. The fact that (vi) implies (v) follows from a similar argument and is omitted.

$(vi) \implies (i)$: As we are assuming (vi), condition (v) holds as well. Using (8.2), let

$$y(t) := v(t)/v(a) = -e_0^2(b,a)v(t)/u(b).$$

Then y is a solution of $Lx = 0$ with $W(u,y)(t) = -e_0^2(t,a)/p(t)$, and $y \neq 0$ on $[a,b)$ follows from the assumptions on v. Set $x := u + y$; then x is a solution of $Lx = 0$ by linearity. We must check that x is nonzero on all of $[a,b]$. At b, $y(b) = 0$, and

$$x(b) = u(b) \neq 0. \tag{8.3}$$

Finally, let $\tau \in [a,b)$. Since y is a solution of $Lx = 0$ that is nonzero on $[a,\tau]$, by the second reduction of order theorem, Theorem 4.2, and the definition of y in terms of v we have on $[a,\tau]$ that

$$x(t) = v(t)/v(a) + v(a)v(t)\int_a^t \frac{e_0^2(s,a)}{p(s)v^2(s)} d_\alpha s$$

for $t \in [a,\tau]$, which we write as

$$x(t) = \frac{v(t)}{v(a)}\left[1 + v^2(a)\int_a^t \frac{e_0^2(s,a)}{p(s)v^2(s)} d_\alpha s\right].$$

Then $x \neq 0$ on $[a,\tau]$ as $v \neq 0$ on $[a,\tau]$. Given that $\tau \in [a,b)$ was arbitrary and the earlier remark (8.3) about $x(b) \neq 0$, we have that $x(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a,b]$, and the proof is complete. □

9. Lyapunov Inequality

Lyapunov inequalities have proven to be useful tools in oscillation theory, disconjugacy, eigenvalue problems, and numerous other applications in the theory of differential equations. In this section we present a conformable Lyapunov inequality.

Throughout this section we assume $a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ with $0 \leq a < b$ and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [0,\infty)$. Let $q : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with $q(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, and consider the conformable self-adjoint equation ($p \equiv 1$)

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0. \tag{9.1}$$

We will consider this together with the quadratic functional

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \int_a^b \left[(D^\alpha x)^2 - qx^2\right](t) e_0^2(b,t) d_\alpha t, \quad d_\alpha t \equiv \frac{dt}{\kappa_0(t)}. \tag{9.2}$$

To prove a Lyapunov inequality for (9.1) we will first need several auxiliary results.

Lemma 9.1. *If x solves (9.1) and if $\mathcal{F}(y)$ is defined for a function y, then*

$$\mathcal{F}(y) - \mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}(y-x) + 2[(y-x)D^\alpha x](t)e_0^2(b,t)\big|_{t=a}^b.$$

Proof. Under the above assumptions we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(y) - \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(y-x) &= \int_a^b \left[(D^\alpha y)^2 - qy^2 - (D^\alpha x)^2 + qx^2 \right. \\
&\qquad \left. -(D^\alpha(y-x))^2 + q(y-x)^2\right](t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= 2\int_a^b \left[(D^\alpha y)(D^\alpha x) - qyx + qx^2 - (D^\alpha x)^2\right](t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&\overset{(9.1)}{=} 2\int_a^b \left[(D^\alpha x)(D^\alpha(y-x)) + (y-x)D^\alpha[D^\alpha x]\right](t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= 2\int_a^b \left\{D^\alpha\left[(y-x)D^\alpha x\right] + \kappa_1(y-x)D^\alpha x\right\}(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= 2\int_a^b D^\alpha\left[\frac{(y-x)D^\alpha x}{e_0(t,b)}\right](t)e_0(t,b)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= 2[(y-x)D^\alpha x](t)e_0^2(b,t)\big|_{t=a}^b,
\end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 (iii). □

Lemma 9.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be given by* (9.2)*. If $\mathcal{F}(y)$ is defined, then for any $r, s \in \mathcal{I}$ with $a \leq r < s \leq b$,*

$$\int_r^s [D^\alpha y]^2(t) e_0^2(s,t) d_\alpha t \geq \frac{\{y(s) - y(r)e_0(s,r)\}^2}{h_1(s,r)}.$$

Proof. Under the above assumptions we define

$$x(t) := \frac{y(s)e_0(t,s)h_1(t,r) - y(r)e_0(t,r)h_1(t,s)}{h_1(s,r)}, \quad h_1(b,a) = \int_a^b 1 d_\alpha t.$$

We then have

$$x(r) = y(r), \quad x(s) = y(s), \quad D^\alpha x(t) = \frac{y(s)e_0(t,s) - y(r)e_0(t,r)}{h_1(s,r)},$$

and

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) = 0,$$

where we used Lemma 2.7 (ii), (iv), and (v). Hence x solves the special conformable equation (9.1) where $q = 0$, and therefore we may apply Lemma 9.1 to $\mathcal{F}_0$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_0(x) := \int_r^s \left[D^\alpha x\right]^2 (t) e_0^2(s,t) d_\alpha t$$

to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_0(y) &= \mathcal{F}_0(x) + \mathcal{F}_0(y-x) + 2[(y-x)D^\alpha x](t)e_0^2(s,t)\big|_{t=r}^s \\
&= \mathcal{F}_0(x) + \mathcal{F}_0(y-x) \\
&\geq \mathcal{F}_0(x) \\
&= \int_r^s \left[D^\alpha x\right]^2 (t) e_0^2(s,t) d_\alpha t \\
&= \int_r^s \left[\frac{y(s)e_0(t,s) - y(r)e_0(t,r)}{h_1(s,r)}\right]^2 e_0^2(s,t) d_\alpha t \\
&= \int_r^s \left[\frac{y(s) - y(r)e_0(s,r)}{h_1(s,r)}\right]^2 d_\alpha t \\
&= \left[\frac{y(s) - y(r)e_0(s,r)}{h_1(s,r)}\right]^2 h_1(s,r),
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves our claim. □

Using Lemma 9.2, we now can prove a Lyapunov inequality for conformable equations of the form (9.1).

Theorem 9.3 (Lyapunov's Inequality)**.** *Let $q : \mathcal{I} \to (0, \infty)$ be continuous and positive-valued. If the conformable equation* (9.1) *has a nontrivial solution x with $x(a) = x(b) = 0$, then the Lyapunov inequality*

$$\int_a^b q(t) e_0(b,t) d_\alpha t \geq \frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)}, \tag{9.3}$$

holds.

Proof. Suppose x is a nontrivial solution of (9.1) with $x(a) = x(b) = 0$. But then we have from Lemma 9.1 (with $y = 0$) that

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \int_a^b \left[(D^\alpha x)^2 - qx^2\right](t) e_0^2(b,t) d_\alpha t = 0.$$

Since x is nontrivial, we have that M defined by

$$M := \max\left\{x^2(t) : \ t \in [a,b]\right\} \tag{9.4}$$

is positive. We now let $c \in (a,b)$ be such that $x^2(c) = M$. According to Definition 2.8, we then have

$$x^2(c) \geq e_0(c,t)x^2(t), \quad t \in [a,b].$$

Applying the above as well as Lemma 9.2 twice (once with $r = a$ and $s = c$ and a second time with $r = c$ and $s = b$) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
M\int_a^b q(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t &\geq \int_a^b \left\{qx^2\right\}(t)e_0(c,t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= e_0(c,b)\int_a^b q(t)x^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= e_0(c,b)\int_a^b (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= e_0(c,b)\left[\int_a^c (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t + \int_c^b (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t\right] \\
&= e_0(b,c)\int_a^c (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(c,t)d_\alpha t + e_0(c,b)\int_c^b (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&\geq \frac{e_0(b,c)}{h_1(c,a)}\left\{x(c) - x(a)e_0(c,a)\right\}^2 + \frac{e_0(c,b)}{h_1(b,c)}\left\{x(b) - x(c)e_0(b,c)\right\}^2 \\
&= x^2(c)\left\{\frac{e_0(b,c)}{h_1(c,a)} + \frac{e_0(c,b)e_0^2(b,c)}{h_1(b,c)}\right\} \\
&= Me_0(b,c)\left\{\frac{1}{h_1(c,a)} + \frac{1}{h_1(b,c)}\right\} \\
&\geq Me_0(b,c)e_0(c,c^*)\left\{\frac{2 + \sqrt{4 + \kappa_1^2(c^*)h_1(b,a)}}{h_1(b,a)}\right\} \\
&\geq M\left\{\frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we divide by $M > 0$ we arrive at the desired inequality. Here we have used, in the penultimate inequality, the fact that $h_1^{-1}(c,a) + h_1^{-1}(b,c)$ reaches an α-minimum at $c^* \in (a,b)$ iff

$$D^\alpha\left[\frac{1}{h_1(c,a)} + \frac{1}{h_1(b,c)}\right] = 0 \quad \text{at } c^* \in (a,b) \text{ iff} \quad \frac{1}{h_1(c^*,a)} = \frac{1}{h_1(b,c^*)} + \kappa_1(c^*), \tag{9.5}$$

and the fact that $h_1(c^*,a) = h_1(b,a) - h_1(b,c^*)$. □

As an application of Theorem 9.3 we now prove a sufficient criterion for disconjugacy of (9.1), for disconjugacy defined in Definition 6.4. We use the notation of Theorem 9.3.

Theorem 9.4 (Sufficient Condition for Disconjugacy of (9.1))**.** *If q satisfies*

$$\int_a^b q(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t < \frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)}, \tag{9.6}$$

then (9.1) *is disconjugate on* $[a,b]$.

Proof. Suppose that (9.6) holds. For the sake of contradiction we assume (9.1) is not disconjugate. But then by the Reid Roundabout Theorem 8.1, there exists a nontrivial x with $x(a) = x(b) = 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(x) \leq 0$. Using this x, we define M by (9.4) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
M\int_a^b q(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t &\geq \int_a^b \left\{qx^2\right\}(t)e_0(c,t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= e_0(c,b)\int_a^b (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= e_0(b,c)\int_a^c (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(c,t)d_\alpha t + e_0(c,b)\int_c^b (D^\alpha x)^2(t)e_0^2(b,t)d_\alpha t \\
&\geq \frac{e_0(b,c)}{h_1(c,a)}\left\{x(c) - x(a)e_0(c,a)\right\}^2 + \frac{e_0(c,b)}{h_1(b,c)}\left\{x(b) - x(c)e_0(b,c)\right\}^2 \\
&= Me_0(b,c)\left\{\frac{1}{h_1(c,a)} + \frac{1}{h_1(b,c)}\right\} \\
&\geq Me_0(b,c)e_0(c,c^*)\left\{\frac{2+\sqrt{4+\kappa_1^2(c^*)h_1(b,a)}}{h_1(b,a)}\right\} \\
&\geq M\left\{\frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows precisely as in the proof of Theorem 9.3. Consequently, after dividing by $M > 0$, we arrive at

$$\int_a^b q(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t \geq \frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)},$$

which contradicts (9.6), and thus completes the proof. □

Example 9.5. Theorem 9.4 gives a sufficient condition for the disconjugacy of (9.1), namely if the inequality (9.3), namely

$$\int_a^b q(t)e_0(b,t)d_\alpha t < \frac{4e_0(b,a)}{h_1(b,a)},$$

holds. This example shows that this result is sharp in the sense that 4 is the largest constant such that this result is true in general. To this end, on $[a,b] = [0,1]$, pick $c, \delta \in (0,1)$ such that $h_1(c,0) = h_1(1,c)$ and $c \pm \delta \in (0,1)$. Choose $x : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ so that x has a continuous second

derivative on $[0,1]$ with

$$x(t) = e_0(t, c-\delta) \begin{cases} h_1(t,0) & : t \in [0, c-\delta], \\ h_1(1,t) & : t \in [c+\delta, 1], \end{cases}$$

and such that x satisfies

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) \le 0, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Moreover, let

$$q(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{-D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t)}{x(t)} e_0(t,0) & : t \in (0,1), \\ 0 & : t = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that q is nonnegative and continuous on $[0,1]$, and x is a nontrivial solution of (9.1), namely

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0$$

with $x(0) = 0 = x(1)$; consequently, (9.1) is not disconjugate on $[0,1]$. Additionally, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 q(t)e_0(1,t)d_\alpha t &= \int_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta} \frac{-D^\alpha D^\alpha x(t)}{x(t)} e_0(t,0)e_0(1,t)d_\alpha t \\ &\le \frac{-1}{x(c-\delta)} \int_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta} D^\alpha[D^\alpha x](t) e_0(c-\delta, t)e_0(1,0)d_\alpha t \\ &\le \frac{-e_0(c-\delta,0)e_0(1,c+\delta)}{h_1(c-\delta,0)} \int_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta} D^\alpha[D^\alpha x](t)e_0(c+\delta,t)d_\alpha t \\ &\overset{\text{Thm } 2.6}{=} \frac{-e_0(c-\delta,0)e_0(1,c+\delta)}{h_1(c-\delta,0)} [D^\alpha x(c+\delta) - D^\alpha x(c-\delta)e_0(c+\delta, c-\delta)] \\ &= \frac{-e_0(c-\delta,0)e_0(1,c+\delta)}{h_1(c-\delta,0)} [-e_0(c+\delta,c-\delta) - e_0(c+\delta,c-\delta)] \\ &= \frac{2e_0(1,0)}{h_1(c-\delta,0)} \\ &= \frac{4e_0(1,0)}{h_1(1,0) - 2h_1(c,c-\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $h_1(c,0) = h_1(1,c)$ and $h_1(c,0) + h_1(1,c) = h_1(1,0)$. Comparing with the result in (9.3), clearly

$$\frac{4e_0(1,0)}{h_1(1,0) - 2h_1(c,c-\delta)} > \frac{4e_0(1,0)}{h_1(1,0)}$$

but they can be made arbitrarily close by taking δ arbitrarily close to 0.

10. Factorization

In this section we lay the groundwork for further exploration of the nonhomogeneous equation (3.1) by introducing the Pólya factorization for the conformable self-adjoint equation $Lx = 0$, which in turn leads to a variation of parameters result for $Lx = h$. Again we assume throughout that the coefficient function p satisfies $p > 0$.

Theorem 10.1 (Pólya Factorization)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*. If $Lx = 0$ has a positive solution $x > 0$ on an interval $[a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$ with $b \leq \infty$, then for any function $y \in \mathbb{D}$ we have a Pólya factorization of Ly on $[a, b)$ given by*

$$Ly = \rho_1 D^\alpha \left\{ \rho_2 D^\alpha [\rho_1 y] \right\}, \quad \rho_1(t) := \frac{e_0(t,a)}{x(t)} > 0, \quad \rho_2(t) := \frac{p(t)x^2(t)}{e_0^2(t,a)} > 0.$$

Proof. In the calculations below we will use the following conformable derivative facts, namely that

$$D^\alpha f(t) + \kappa_1(t) f(t) \overset{(3.7)}{=} e_0(t,a) D^\alpha \left[\frac{f}{e_0(\cdot, a)} \right](t)$$

and

$$D^\alpha f(t) - \kappa_1(t) f(t) \overset{(7.2)}{=} \frac{1}{e_0(t,a)} D^\alpha \left[e_0(\cdot, a) f \right](t).$$

Assume $x > 0$ is a positive solution of $Lx = 0$ on $[a, b)$, and let $y \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Ly &\overset{\text{Thm } 3.6}{=} \frac{1}{x} \left\{ D^\alpha [pW(x,y)] + \kappa_1 pW(x,y) \right\} \\
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} \frac{e_0(\cdot,a)}{x} D^\alpha \left[\frac{pW(x,y)}{e_0(\cdot,a)} \right] \\
&\overset{\text{Def } 3.5}{=} \frac{e_0(\cdot,a)}{x} D^\alpha \left\{ \frac{p}{e_0(\cdot,a)} \left[xD^\alpha y - yD^\alpha x \right] \right\} \\
&= \rho_1 D^\alpha \left\{ \frac{px^2}{e_0(\cdot,a)} \left[\frac{1}{x} D^\alpha y - \frac{y}{x^2} D^\alpha x \right] \right\} \\
&\overset{(4.6)}{=} \rho_1 D^\alpha \left\{ e_0(\cdot,a) \rho_2 \left[D^\alpha [y/x] - \kappa_1 y/x \right] \right\} \\
&\overset{(7.2)}{=} \rho_1 D^\alpha \left\{ e_0(\cdot,a) \rho_2 \frac{1}{e_0(\cdot,a)} D^\alpha \left[e_0(\cdot,a) y/x \right] \right\} \\
&= \rho_1 D^\alpha \left\{ \rho_2 D^\alpha \left[\rho_1 y \right] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

for ρ_1 and ρ_2 as defined in the statement of the theorem. □

Theorem 10.2 (Variation of Parameters)**.** *Let h be a continuous function defined on $[a, \infty)$, and let L be given as in* (3.1)*. If the homogeneous equation $Lx = 0$ has a positive solution $x > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$, then the nonhomogeneous equation $Ly = h$ has a solution y given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) &= \frac{x(t)y(a)}{x(a)} + p(a)W(x,y)(a)x(t) \int_a^t \frac{e_0^2(s,a)}{p(s)x^2(s)} d_\alpha s \\
&\quad + x(t) \int_a^t \left(\frac{1}{p(s)x^2(s)} \int_a^s x(\sigma) h(\sigma) e_0^2(s,\sigma) d_\alpha \sigma \right) d_\alpha s.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{D}$ be defined on $[a, \infty)$, and assume $x > 0$ is a positive solution of $Lx = 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. As in Theorem 10.1, we factor Ly to get

$$h(\sigma) = Ly(\sigma) = \frac{e_0(\sigma,a)}{x(\sigma)} D^\alpha \left\{ \frac{px^2}{e_0^2(\cdot,a)} D^\alpha [e_0(\cdot,a) y/x] \right\}(\sigma).$$

Multiplying by $e_0(t,\sigma)e_0(a,\sigma)x$ and integrating from a to t we arrive via Theorem 2.6 at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^t e_0(a,\sigma)x(\sigma)h(\sigma)e_0(t,\sigma)d_\alpha\sigma \\
&= \int_a^t D^\alpha\left\{\frac{px^2}{e_0^2(\cdot,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x]\right\}(\sigma)e_0(t,\sigma)d_\alpha\sigma \\
&= \frac{(px^2)(\sigma)}{e_0^2(\sigma,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](\sigma)e_0(t,\sigma)\big|_{\sigma=a}^t \\
&= \frac{(px^2)(t)}{e_0^2(t,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](t)e_0(t,t) - \frac{(px^2)(a)}{e_0^2(a,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](a)e_0(t,a) \\
&= \frac{(px^2)(t)}{e_0^2(t,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](t) - (px^2)(a)D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](a)e_0(t,a) \\
&= \frac{(px^2)(t)}{e_0^2(t,a)}D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](t) - p(a)W(x,y)(a)e_0(t,a)
\end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 4.2, since $x>0$ is a solution. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,a)y/x](s)e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s &= \frac{e_0(t,a)p(a)}{(px^2)(s)}W(x,y)(a)e_0^2(s,a)d_\alpha s \\
&\quad + \frac{e_0(t,a)}{(px^2)(s)}\left(\int_a^s x(\sigma)h(\sigma)e_0^2(s,\sigma)d_\alpha\sigma\right)d_\alpha s.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this from a to t and using Theorem 2.6 yields the form for y given in the statement of the theorem. Clearly the right-hand side of the form of y above reduces to $y(a)$ at a, and since $x>0$ is a solution the conformable derivative reduces to $D^\alpha y(a)$ at a. □

Corollary 10.3. *Let h be a continuous function defined on $[a,\infty)$, and let L be given as in* (3.1). *If the homogeneous matrix equation* (3.1) *has a positive solution $x>0$ on $[a,\infty)$, then the nonhomogeneous initial value problem*

$$Ly = h, \quad y(a) = y_a, \quad D^\alpha y(a) = y_a' \tag{10.1}$$

has a unique solution.

Proof. By Theorem 10.2, the nonhomogeneous initial value problem (10.1) has a solution. Suppose y_1 and y_2 both solve (10.1). Then $x = y_1 - y_2$ solves the homogeneous initial value problem

$$Lx = 0, \quad x(a) = 0, \quad D^\alpha x(a) = 0;$$

by Theorem 3.4, this has only the trivial solution $x\equiv 0$, and thus $y_1 = y_2$ is unique. □

Theorem 10.4 (Trench Factorization)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1). *If $Lx=0$ has a positive solution on an interval $[a,b)\subset(0,\infty)$ with $b\le\infty$, then for any $x\in\mathbb{D}$ we have the Trench factorization*

$$Lx(t) = \gamma_1(t)D^\alpha\left\{\gamma_2 D^\alpha[\gamma_1 x]\right\}(t) \quad \textit{for all} \quad t\in[a,b),$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are positive functions on $[a,b)$ and

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{\gamma_2(t)}d_\alpha t = \infty. \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. Since $Lx = 0$ has a positive solution on $[a, b)$, Lx has a Polya factorization on $[a, b)$ by Theorem 10.1. Hence there are positive functions ρ_1 and ρ_2 on $[a, b)$ such that

$$Lx(t) = \rho_1(t)D^\alpha \left\{\rho_2 D^\alpha[\rho_1 x]\right\}(t) = \frac{1}{\delta_1(t)}D^\alpha \left\{\frac{1}{\delta_2}D^\alpha \left[\frac{x}{\delta_1}\right]\right\}(t)$$

for $t \in [a, b)$, where

$$\delta_i(t) := \frac{1}{\rho_i(t)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad t \in [a, b).$$

If

$$\int_a^b \delta_2(t)d_\alpha t = \infty,$$

then we have what we want. Assume

$$\int_a^b \delta_2(t)d_\alpha t < \infty. \tag{10.3}$$

In this case we set

$$\beta_1(t) = \delta_1(t)\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2(t) = \frac{\delta_2(t)}{\left(\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s\right)^2}$$

for $t \in [a, b)$. Then by (10.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b \beta_2(t)d_\alpha t &= \lim_{c\to b^-}\int_a^c \frac{\delta_2(t)}{\left(\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s\right)^2}d_\alpha t \\
&= \lim_{c\to b^-}\int_a^c D^\alpha\left\{\frac{e_0(t,a)}{\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s}\right\}e_0(a,t)d_\alpha t \\
&= \lim_{c\to b^-}\frac{e_0(t,a)e_0(a,t)}{\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s}\Big|_{t=a}^c \\
&= \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{D}$, note that

$$D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\beta_1}\right](t) = D^\alpha\left[\frac{\frac{x(t)}{\delta_1(t)}}{\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s}\right] = \frac{\left(\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s\right)D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\delta_1}\right](t) + \frac{x(t)\delta_2(t)}{\delta_1(t)}}{\left(\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s\right)^2}$$

for $t \in [a, b)$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\beta_2(t)}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\beta_1}\right](t) = \frac{1}{\delta_2(t)}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\delta_1}\right](t)\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s + \frac{x(t)}{\delta_1(t)}$$

for $t \in [a, b)$. Applying the operator D^α to both sides we get

$$D^\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{\beta_2}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\beta_1}\right]\right\}(t) = D^\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{\delta_2}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\delta_1}\right]\right\}(t)\int_t^b \delta_2(s)d_\alpha s$$

for $t \in [a, b)$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_1(t)}D^\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{\beta_2}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\beta_1}\right]\right\}(t) = \frac{1}{\delta_1(t)}D^\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{\delta_2}D^\alpha\left[\frac{x}{\delta_1}\right]\right\}(t) = Lx(t)$$

for $t \in [a,b)$. If

$$\gamma_i(t) := \frac{1}{\beta_i(t)} \quad \text{for } i = 1,2, \quad t \in [a,b),$$

then

$$Lx(t) = \gamma_1(t) D^\alpha \{\gamma_2 D^\alpha [\gamma_1 x]\}(t)$$

for $t \in [a,b)$, where (10.2) is satisfied. □

Theorem 10.5. *Let L be as in (3.1). If $Lx = 0$ is nonoscillatory on an interval $[a,b) \subset (0,\infty)$ with $b \le \infty$, then there is a positive solution u, called a recessive solution at b, such that for any second linearly independent solution v, called a dominant solution at b,*

$$\lim_{t \to b^-} \frac{u(t)}{v(t)} = 0, \quad \int_a^b \frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)u^2(t)} d_\alpha t = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^b \frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)v^2(t)} d_\alpha t < \infty,$$

where $t_0 < b$ is sufficiently close. Furthermore

$$\frac{p(t)D^\alpha v(t)}{v(t)} > \frac{p(t)D^\alpha u(t)}{u(t)} \tag{10.4}$$

for $t < b$ sufficiently close. Moreover, the recessive solution is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero constant.

Proof. Since we are assuming that $Lx = 0$ is nonoscillatory on $[a,b)$, without loss of generality it has a positive solution on $[a,b)$. Thus, Lx has a Trench factorization on $[a,b)$ by Theorem 10.4, namely

$$Lx(t) = \gamma_1(t) D^\alpha \left\{\gamma_2 D^\alpha [\gamma_1 x]\right\}(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [a,b),$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are positive functions on $[a,b)$, and (10.2) holds. If

$$u(t) = \frac{e_0(t,a)}{\gamma_1(t)} > 0,$$

then we get from the Trench factorization that u is a positive solution of $Lx = 0$ on $[a,b)$. Let

$$v_0(t) = \frac{e_0(t,a)}{\gamma_1(t)} \int_a^t \frac{1}{\gamma_2(s)} d_\alpha s = u(t) \int_a^t \gamma_2^{-1}(s) d_\alpha s > 0.$$

Since $\gamma_2(t) D^\alpha [\gamma_1 v_0](t) = e_0(t,a)$ and $v_0(a) = 0$, we get by the Trench factorization that v_0 is a solution of $Lx = 0$ on $[a,b)$. Note that

$$\lim_{t \to b^-} \frac{u(t)}{v_0(t)} = \lim_{t \to b^-} \frac{1}{\int_a^t \gamma_2^{-1}(s) d_\alpha s} = 0$$

by (10.2). Consider, using the quotient rule from Lemma 2.7, the expression

$$D^\alpha \left[\frac{v_0}{u}\right](t) - \kappa_1(t) \frac{v_0(t)}{u(t)} = \frac{W(u,v_0)(t)}{u^2(t)} = \frac{c e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)u^2(t)}, \tag{10.5}$$

where $c = p(a)W(u,v_0)(a)$, by Abel's formula (Corollary 3.9). Note that $c \neq 0$ since u and v_0 are linearly independent. Using (7.2) we see that

$$D^\alpha \left[\frac{v_0}{u}\right](t) - \kappa_1(t) \frac{v_0(t)}{u(t)} = \frac{1}{e_0(t,a)} D^\alpha \left[e_0(\cdot,a) \frac{v_0}{u}\right](t),$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{e_0(t,a)}D^\alpha\left[e_0(\cdot,a)\frac{v_0}{u}\right](t)=\frac{W(u,v_0)(t)}{u^2(t)}=\frac{ce_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)u^2(t)}.$$

Integrating both sides of this last equality from a to t via Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$0<\frac{v_0(t)}{u(t)}=\int_a^t\frac{ce_0^2(s,a)}{p(s)u^2(s)}d_\alpha s.$$

Letting $t\to b^-$ we get one of the desired results, namely

$$\int_a^b\frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)u^2(t)}d_\alpha t=\infty.$$

Suppose v is any solution of $Lx=0$ such that u and v are linearly independent. Then

$$v(t)=c_1u(t)+c_2v_0(t),$$

where $c_2\neq 0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{t\to b^-}\frac{u(t)}{v(t)}=\lim_{t\to b^-}\frac{u(t)}{c_1u(t)+c_2v_0(t)}=\lim_{t\to b^-}\frac{\frac{u(t)}{v_0(t)}}{c_1\frac{u(t)}{v_0(t)}+c_2}=0.$$

Again let v be a fixed solution of (3.1) such that u and v are linearly independent, but this time pick $t_0\in[a,b)$ so that $v(t)\neq 0$ on $[t_0,b)$, which is possible by the nonoscillatory nature of $Lx=0$. Then for $t\in[t_0,b)$, similar to the calculations above in (10.5), we have

$$D^\alpha\left[\frac{u}{v}\right](t)-\kappa_1(t)\frac{u(t)}{v(t)}=\frac{1}{e_0(t,a)}D^\alpha\left[e_0(\cdot,a)\frac{u}{v}\right](t)=\frac{W(v,u)(t)}{v^2(t)}=\frac{c_2e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)v^2(t)},$$

where $c_2\neq 0$. Integrating both sides of this last equality from t_0 to t we obtain

$$\frac{u(t)}{v(t)}-\frac{u(t_0)}{v(t_0)}=\int_{t_0}^t\frac{c_2e_0^2(s,a)}{p(s)v^2(s)}d_\alpha s.$$

Letting $t\to b^-$ we get another one of the desired results, that is

$$\int_{t_0}^b\frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)v^2(t)}d_\alpha t<\infty.$$

We now show that (10.4) holds for $t<b$ sufficiently close. Above we saw that $v(t)\neq 0$ on $[t_0,b)$. Note that the expression

$$\frac{p(t)D^\alpha v(t)}{v(t)}$$

is the same if $v(t)$ is replaced by $-v(t)$. Hence without loss of generality we can assume $v>0$ on $[t_0,b)$. For $t\in[t_0,b)$, consider

$$\frac{p(t)D^\alpha v(t)}{v(t)}-\frac{p(t)D^\alpha u(t)}{u(t)}=\frac{p(t)W(u,v)(t)}{v(t)u(t)}=\frac{c_3e_0^2(t,t_0)}{v(t)u(t)},$$

where $c_3=p(t)W(u,v)(t)/e_0^2(t,t_0)$ is a (nonzero) constant by Abel's formula. It remains to show that $c_3>0$. Since

$$\lim_{t\to b^-}\frac{v(t)}{u(t)}=\infty,$$

the ordinary derivative $(v/u)' > 0$ near b. Consequently, we see that

$$0 < \kappa_0(t)\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)'(t) \overset{(1.2)}{=} D^\alpha\left[\frac{v}{u}\right](t) - \kappa_1(t)\frac{v(t)}{u(t)} = \frac{W(u,v)(t)}{u^2(t)} = \frac{c_3 e_0^2(t,t_0)}{p(t)u^2(t)},$$

and we get the desired result that $c_3 > 0$, and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 10.6 (Fite–Leighton–Wintner Theorem)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*. Assume $\mathcal{I} = [a,\infty)$, $p > 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$, and*

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{e_0(t,a)}{p(t)} d_\alpha t = \int_a^\infty q(t) d_\alpha t = \infty. \tag{10.6}$$

Then $Lx = 0$ is oscillatory on $[a,\infty)$.

Proof. If $Lx = 0$ is nonoscillatory on $[a,\infty)$, then $Lx = 0$ is disconjugate in a neighborhood of ∞. By Theorem 10.5, there is a dominant solution x at ∞ satisfying

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)x^2(t)} d_\alpha t < \infty \tag{10.7}$$

for $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ sufficiently large. Define z by the Riccati substitution (6.2) on $[t_0,\infty)$. Then, by Theorem 6.5, z is a solution of the Riccati equation (6.1) on $[t_0,\infty)$. It follows for all $s \geq t_0$ that

$$\frac{D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,t_0)z](s)}{e_0(s,t_0)} \overset{(7.2)}{=} D^\alpha z(s) - \kappa_1(s)z(s) \overset{(6.1)}{=} -q(s) - \frac{z^2(s)}{p(s)} \leq -q(s),$$

whence

$$D^\alpha[e_0(\cdot,t_0)z](s)e_0(t,s) \leq -e_0(t,t_0)q(s).$$

Integrating both sides of this last inequality from t_0 to t and using Theorem 2.6, we get

$$z(t) - z(t_0) \leq -\int_{t_0}^t q(s) d_\alpha s.$$

It follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = -\infty$. But then there exists $t_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ sufficiently large so that $t_1 \geq t_0$ and

$$z(t) = \frac{p(t)D^\alpha x(t)}{x(t)} < 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_1,\infty)$; it then follows from Theorem 2.10 that x is a positive α-decreasing function on $[t_1,\infty)$, so that

$$x^2(t) < e_0(t,t_1)x^2(t_1), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

But then we have that

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)x^2(t)} d_\alpha t \geq \int_{t_1}^\infty \frac{e_0^2(t,a)}{p(t)x^2(t)} d_\alpha t > \frac{e_0(t_1,a)}{x^2(t_1)} \int_{t_1}^\infty \frac{e_0(t,a)}{p(t)} d_\alpha t = \infty,$$

which contradicts (10.7). This completes the proof. □

11. Boundary Value Problems and Green's Function

In this section we are concerned with Green's functions for a general two-point boundary value problem (abbreviated by BVP) for $Lx = 0$, L given in (3.1).

Theorem 11.1 (Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for General Two Point BVPs)**.** *Assume that the homogeneous boundary value problem*

$$Lx = 0, \quad \xi x(a) - \beta D^\alpha x(a) = \gamma x(b) + \delta D^\alpha x(b) = 0 \tag{11.1}$$

has only the trivial solution. Then the nonhomogeneous BVP

$$Lx = h(t), \quad \xi x(a) - \beta D^\alpha x(a) = A, \quad \gamma x(b) + \delta D^\alpha x(b) = B, \tag{11.2}$$

where A and B are given constants and h is continuous, has a unique solution.

Proof. The proof is similar to the classical ($\alpha = 1$) case and thus is omitted. □

In the next example we give a BVP of the type (11.1) which does not have just the trivial solution. In Example 11.3 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for some boundary value problems of the form (11.1) to have only the trivial solution.

Example 11.2. Find all solutions of the BVP

$$D^\alpha[pD^\alpha x](t) = 0, \quad D^\alpha x(a) = D^\alpha x(b) = 0, \tag{11.3}$$

where $a < b$. The BVP (11.3) is equivalent to a BVP of the form (11.1) if we take $q(t) \equiv 0$, $\xi = \gamma = 0$, and $\beta = \delta = 1$. A general solution of the boundary value problem in (11.3) is

$$x(t) = c_1 e_0(t, a) + c_2 e_0(t, a) \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau, \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{11.4}$$

and the boundary conditions lead to the equations

$$D^\alpha x(a) = \frac{c_2}{p(a)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha x(b) = \frac{c_2 e_0(b, a)}{p(b)} = 0.$$

Thus $c_2 = 0$, and $x(t) = c_1 e_0(t, a)$ solves (11.3) for any constant $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. △

Example 11.3. Let

$$D = \xi\gamma \int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau + \frac{\beta\gamma}{p(a)} + \frac{\xi\delta}{p(b)}.$$

Using (11.4), it is straightforward to show that the BVP (11.1) with $q \equiv 0$ has only the trivial solution if and only if $D \neq 0$. In particular, $D = 0$ for the BVP in Example 11.2 and thus (11.3) has nontrivial solutions, as seen above. △

Theorem 11.4 (Green's Function for General Two Point BVPs)**.** *Assume that the BVP* (11.1) *has only the trivial solution. For each fixed $s \in [a, b]$, let $u(\cdot, s)$ be the unique solution of the BVP*

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(\cdot, s) = 0, \quad \xi u(a, s) - \beta D^\alpha u(a, s) = 0, \\ \gamma u(b, s) + \delta D^\alpha u(b, s) = -\gamma x(b, s) - \delta D^\alpha x(b, s), \end{aligned} \tag{11.5}$$

where $x(t,s)$ is the Cauchy function (5.1) *for $Lx = 0$, L in* (3.1). *Define Green's function $G : [a,b] \times [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ for the BVP* (11.1) *by*

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} u(t,s) & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ v(t,s) & : a \le s \le t \le b, \end{cases}$$

where $v(t,s) := u(t,s) + x(t,s)$ for $t, s \in [a,b]$. Then for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$, $v(\cdot,s)$ is a solution of $Lx = 0$ and satisfies the second boundary condition in (11.1). *If h is continuous, then*

$$x(t) := \int_a^b G(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s$$

is the solution of the nonhomogeneous BVP (11.2) *with $A = B = 0$.*

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $u(t,s)$ is guaranteed by Theorem 11.1. Since for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$, $u(\cdot,s)$ and $x(\cdot,s)$ are solutions of $Lx = 0$, L in (3.1), we have for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$ that $v(\cdot,s) = u(\cdot,s) + x(\cdot,s)$ is also a solution of $Lx = 0$. It follows from (11.5) that $v(\cdot,s)$ satisfies the second boundary condition in (11.1) for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_a^b G(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^t G(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_t^b G(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^t v(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_t^b u(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^t [u(t,s) + x(t,s)]h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_t^b u(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b u(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_a^t x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b u(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + w(t), \end{aligned}$$

where, by the variation of constants formula in Theorem 5.5, w is the solution of the IVP

$$Lw = h(t), \quad w(a) = D^\alpha w(a) = 0. \tag{11.6}$$

It follows that

$$Lx(t) = \int_a^b Lu(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + Lw(t) = Lw(t) = h(t).$$

Hence x is a solution of the nonhomogeneous equation $Lx = h(t)$. It remains to show that x satisfies the two boundary conditions in (11.1). Now

$$\xi x(a) - \beta D^\alpha x(a) = \int_a^b [\xi u(a,s) - \beta D^\alpha u(a,s)]h(s)d_\alpha s = 0,$$

since for each fixed s, $u(\cdot, s)$ satisfies the first boundary condition in (11.1) and w satisfies (11.6). Hence x satisfies the first boundary condition in (11.1). From earlier in this proof,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_a^b u(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_a^t x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b v(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s - \int_t^b x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b v(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_b^t x(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b v(t,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + y(t), \end{aligned}$$

where, by the variation of constants formula in Theorem 5.5, y solves

$$Ly = h(t), \quad y(b) = D^\alpha y(b) = 0. \tag{11.7}$$

Then

$$\gamma x(b) + \delta D^\alpha x(b) = \int_a^b \left[\gamma v(b,s) + \delta D^\alpha v(b,s)\right] h(s)d_\alpha s = 0,$$

since for each fixed s, $v(\cdot, s)$ satisfies the second boundary condition in (11.1) and y satisfies (11.7). Hence x satisfies the second boundary condition in (11.1). The uniqueness of solutions of the nonhomogeneous BVP (11.2) (with $A = B = 0$) follows from Theorem 11.1. □

Instead of the Cauchy function approach as above, in the next theorem we find another form of Green's function for the BVP (11.1); of particular interest is a symmetry condition on the square $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ satisfied by Green's function.

Theorem 11.5. *Assume that the BVP* (11.1) *has only the trivial solution. Let ϕ be the solution of the IVP*

$$L\phi = 0, \quad \phi(a) = \beta, \quad D^\alpha \phi(a) = \xi,$$

and let ψ be the solution of

$$L\psi = 0, \quad \psi(b) = \delta, \quad D^\alpha \psi(b) = -\gamma.$$

Then Green's function for the BVP (11.1) *is given by*

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)} \begin{cases} \phi(t)\psi(s) & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ \psi(t)\phi(s) & : a \le s \le t \le b, \end{cases} \tag{11.8}$$

where W is the Wronskian (3.5)*. Furthermore, G satisfies the property*

$$e_0(s,t)G(t,s) = e_0(t,s)G(s,t).$$

Proof. Let ϕ and ψ be as stated in the theorem. We use Theorem 11.4 to prove that G defined by (11.8) is Green's function for the BVP (11.1). Note that

$$\xi\phi(a) - \beta D^\alpha \phi(a) = \xi\beta - \beta\xi = 0$$

and

$$\gamma\psi(b) + \delta D^\alpha \psi(b) = \gamma\delta - \delta\gamma = 0.$$

Hence ϕ and ψ satisfy the first and second boundary condition in (11.1), respectively. Let

$$u(t,s) := \frac{\phi(t)\psi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad v(t,s) := \frac{\psi(t)\phi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)}$$

for $t \in [a,b]$, $s \in [a,b]$. Note that for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$, $u(\cdot,s)$ and $v(\cdot,s)$ are solutions of $Lx = 0$, L in (3.1), on $[a,b]$. Also for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$,

$$\xi u(a,s) - \beta D^\alpha u(a,s) = \frac{\psi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)}[\xi\phi(a) - \beta D^\alpha \phi(a)] = 0$$

and

$$\gamma v(b,s) + \delta D^\alpha v(b,s) = \frac{\phi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)}[\gamma\psi(b) + \delta D^\alpha \psi(b)] = 0.$$

Hence for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$, $u(\cdot,s)$ and $v(\cdot,s)$ satisfy the first and second boundary condition in (11.1), respectively. Let

$$\chi(t,s) := v(t,s) - u(t,s) = \frac{\psi(t)\phi(s) - \phi(t)\psi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)}.$$

It follows that for each fixed s, $\chi(\cdot,s)$ is a solution of $Lx = 0$, $\chi(s,s) = 0$, and

$$D^\alpha \chi(s,s) = \frac{\phi(s)D^\alpha\psi(s) - \psi(s)D^\alpha\phi(s)}{p(s)W(\phi,\psi)(s)} = \frac{1}{p(s)}.$$

Consequently $\chi(t,s) = x(t,s)$ is the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$, and we have

$$v(t,s) = u(t,s) + x(t,s).$$

It remains to prove that for each fixed s, $u(\cdot,s)$ satisfies (11.5). To see this consider

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma u(b,s) + \delta D^\alpha u(b,s) &= \gamma v(b,s) + \delta D^\alpha v(b,s) - [\gamma x(b,s) + \delta D^\alpha x(b,s)] \\ &= -\gamma x(b,s) - \delta D^\alpha x(b,s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 11.4, $G(t,s)$ defined by (11.8) is Green's function for (11.1). It follows from Abel's formula (Corollary 3.9) and (11.8) that G satisfies the condition $e_0(s,t)G(t,s) = e_0(t,s)G(s,t)$ for $s,t \in [a,b]$. □

11.1. **Conjugate Problem and Disconjugacy.** In this subsection we examine Theorem 11.4 and Theorem 11.5 in more detail, in particular for the special case where the boundary conditions (11.5) are conjugate (also known as Dirichlet) boundary conditions.

Corollary 11.6 (Green's Function for the Conjugate Problem)**.** *Assume the BVP*

$$Lx = 0, \quad x(a) = x(b) = 0. \tag{11.9}$$

has only the trivial solution. Let $x(t,s)$ be the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$, L in (3.1)*. For each fixed $s \in \mathcal{I}$, let $u(\cdot,s)$ be the unique solution of the BVP*

$$Lu(\cdot,s) = 0, \quad u(a,s) = 0, \quad u(b,s) = -x(b,s).$$

Then

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} u(t,s) & : t \le s, \\ v(t,s) & : t \ge s, \end{cases}$$

where $v(t,s) = u(t,s) + x(t,s)$*, is Green's function for the BVP* (11.9)*. Moreover, for each fixed* $s \in [a,b]$*,* $v(\cdot,s)$ *is a solution of* $Lx = 0$ *and* $v(b,s) = 0$*.*

Proof. This corollary follows from Theorem 11.4 with $\xi = \gamma = 1$ and $\beta = \delta = 0$. □

Corollary 11.7. *Green's function for the BVP* (11.9) *with* $q \equiv 0$ *is given by*

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e_0(t,s)}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau} \begin{cases} \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ \int_a^s \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau \int_t^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le s \le t \le b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that the BVP

$$D^\alpha [p D^\alpha x](t) = 0, \qquad x(a) = x(b) = 0,$$

has only the trivial solution from a modification of Example 11.2. By Example 5.3, the Cauchy function for

$$D^\alpha [p D^\alpha x](t) = 0 \tag{11.10}$$

is given by

$$x(t,s) = e_0(t,s) \int_s^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau.$$

By Corollary 11.6, $u(\cdot,s)$ from the statement of Corollary 11.6 solves (11.10) for each fixed $s \in [a,b]$ and satisfies

$$u(a,s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(b,s) = -x(b,s) = -e_0(b,s) \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau. \tag{11.11}$$

Since

$$x_1(t) = e_0(t,a) \quad \text{and} \quad x_2(t) = e_0(t,a) \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau$$

are solutions of (11.10),

$$u(t,s) = \xi(s) e_0(t,a) + \beta(s) e_0(t,a) \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau.$$

Using the boundary conditions (11.11), it can be shown that

$$u(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \frac{\int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau}.$$

Hence $G(t,s)$ has the desired form for $t \le s$. By Corollary 11.6 for $t \ge s$,

$$G(t,s) = x(t,s) + u(t,s).$$

Therefore for $t \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} G(t,s) &= e_0(t,s)\int_s^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau - e_0(t,s)\frac{\int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau} \\ &= e_0(t,s)\frac{\int_s^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau - \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau} \\ &= e_0(t,s)\frac{\int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \left[-\int_t^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau\right] + \int_s^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \int_t^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau} \\ &= -e_0(t,s)\frac{\int_a^s \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau \int_t^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)}d_\alpha\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. □

The following corollary follows immediately from Corollary 11.7 by taking $p(\tau) \equiv 1$.

Corollary 11.8. *Green's function for the BVP*

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x = 0, \quad x(a) = x(b) = 0$$

is given by

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e_0(t,s)}{\int_a^b 1 d_\alpha\tau} \begin{cases} \int_a^t 1 d_\alpha\tau \int_s^b 1 d_\alpha\tau & : a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \\ \int_a^s 1 d_\alpha\tau \int_t^b 1 d_\alpha\tau & : a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

For example, if $\kappa_1(t) = (1-\alpha)(\omega t)^\alpha$ *and* $\kappa_0(t) = \alpha(\omega t)^{1-\alpha}$ *for* $\alpha \in (0,1]$ *and* $\omega, t \in (0,\infty)$*, then*

$$\int_a^t 1 d_\alpha\tau = \frac{t^\alpha - a^\alpha}{\alpha^2\omega^{1-\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad e_0(t,s) = e^{-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha^2}\right)\omega^{2\alpha-1}\left(t^{2\alpha}-s^{2\alpha}\right)}$$

for $a, s \in (0,\infty)$*, and*

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e^{-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha^2}\right)\omega^{2\alpha-1}\left(t^{2\alpha}-s^{2\alpha}\right)}}{\alpha^2\omega^{1-\alpha}\left(b^\alpha - a^\alpha\right)} \begin{cases} \left(t^\alpha - a^\alpha\right)\left(b^\alpha - s^\alpha\right) & : a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \\ \left(s^\alpha - a^\alpha\right)\left(b^\alpha - t^\alpha\right) & : a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

The following corollary follows immediately from Corollary 11.7 by taking

$$p^{-1}(\tau) = e_0(b,\tau)D^\alpha\left[\frac{\tau}{e_0(b,\tau)}\right]. \tag{11.12}$$

Corollary 11.9. *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $a < b$*, and let* p *be given via* (11.12)*. Then Green's function for the BVP*

$$D^\alpha\left[pD^\alpha x\right](t) = 0, \quad x(a) = x(b) = 0$$

is given by

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e_0(t,s)}{b-a} \begin{cases} (t-a)(b-s) & : a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \\ (s-a)(b-t) & : a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Corollary 11.7, Green's function for the BVP (11.9) with $q \equiv 0$ is given by

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e_0(t,s)}{\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau} \begin{cases} \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau \int_s^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ \int_a^s \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau \int_t^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le s \le t \le b. \end{cases}$$

Using (11.12) and Theorem 2.6, we see that

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau = \int_a^b D^\alpha \left[\frac{\tau}{e_0(b,\tau)} \right] e_0(b,\tau) d_\alpha \tau = b - a.$$

Similar evaluations of the other integrals yield the result. □

The proofs of the following two theorems are similar to their classical ($\alpha = 1$) counterparts and thus are omitted.

Theorem 11.10. *Let L be as in* (3.1)*. If $p > 0$ and $Lx = 0$ is disconjugate on $[a,b]$, then Green's function for the conjugate BVP* (11.9) *exists and satisfies*

$$G(t,s) < 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad t,s \in (a,b).$$

Theorem 11.11 (Comparison Theorem for Conjugate BVPs)**.** *Let L be as in* (3.1)*. Let $p > 0$ and assume that $Lx = 0$ is disconjugate on $[a,b]$. If $u, v \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfy*

$$Lu(t) \le Lv(t) \ \textit{ for all } \ t \in [a,b], \quad u(a) \ge v(a), \quad u(b) \ge v(b),$$

then

$$u(t) \ge v(t) \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \in [a,b].$$

11.2. **Right Focal Problem.** Similar to the subsection above on the conjugate boundary conditions and disconjugacy, here we examine Theorem 11.4 and Theorem 11.5 for the special case where the boundary conditions (11.5) are right focal boundary conditions, namely the boundary value problem

$$Lx = 0, \quad x(a) = D^\alpha x(b) = 0. \tag{11.13}$$

Corollary 11.12 (Green's Function for Focal BVPs)**.** *Assume that the BVP* (11.13) *has only the trivial solution. For each fixed $s \in [a,b]$, let $u(\cdot, s)$ be the solution of the BVP*

$$Lu(\cdot, s) = 0, \quad u(a,s) = 0, \quad D^\alpha u(b,s) = -D^\alpha x(b,s),$$

where $x(t,s)$ is the Cauchy function of $Lx = 0$. Then

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} u(t,s) & : a \le t \le s \le b \\ u(t,s) + x(t,s) & : a \le s \le t \le b \end{cases}$$

is Green's function for the right focal BVP (11.13)*.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 11.4 with $\xi = \delta = 1$ and $\beta = \gamma = 0$. □

Corollary 11.13. *Green's function for the focal BVP* (11.13) *with* $q \equiv 0$ *is given by*

$$G(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \begin{cases} \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ \int_a^s \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau & : a \le s \le t \le b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that (11.13) with $q \equiv 0$ has only the trivial solution. Hence we can apply Corollary 11.12 to find the focal Green's function $G(t,s)$. For $t \le s$, $G(t,s) = u(t,s)$, where for each fixed s, $u(\cdot, s)$ solves the BVP

$$Lu(\cdot, s) = 0, \quad u(a,s) = 0, \quad D^\alpha u(b,s) = -D^\alpha x(b,s),$$

and where $x(t,s)$ is the Cauchy function for (11.10). Solving this BVP, we get that

$$u(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \int_a^t \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau,$$

which is the desired expression for $G(t,s)$ if $t \le s$. If $t \ge s$, then

$$G(t,s) \;\; = \;\; u(t,s) + x(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \int_a^s \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d_\alpha \tau.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 11.14. *Green's function for the focal BVP* (11.13) *with* $p(t) \equiv 1$ *and* $q \equiv 0$ *is given by*

$$G(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \begin{cases} \int_a^t 1 d_\alpha \tau & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ \int_a^s 1 d_\alpha \tau & : a \le s \le t \le b. \end{cases}$$

For example, if $\kappa_1(t) = (1-\alpha)(\omega t)^\alpha$ *and* $\kappa_0(t) = \alpha(\omega t)^{1-\alpha}$ *for* $\alpha \in (0,1]$ *and* $\omega, t \in (0,\infty)$*, then*

$$\int_a^t 1 d_\alpha \tau = \frac{t^\alpha - a^\alpha}{\alpha^2 \omega^{1-\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad e_0(t,s) = e^{-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha^2}\right)\omega^{2\alpha-1}\left(t^{2\alpha} - s^{2\alpha}\right)}$$

for $a, s \in (0,\infty)$*, and*

$$G(t,s) = \frac{-e^{-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha^2}\right)\omega^{2\alpha-1}\left(t^{2\alpha} - s^{2\alpha}\right)}}{\alpha^2 \omega^{1-\alpha}} \begin{cases} t^\alpha - a^\alpha & : t \le s, \\ s^\alpha - a^\alpha & : t \ge s. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $p(\tau) \equiv 1$ in Corollary 11.13. □

Corollary 11.15. *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $a < b$*, and let* p *be given via* (11.12)*. Then Green's function for the BVP*

$$D^\alpha \left[p D^\alpha x \right](t) = 0, \quad x(a) = D^\alpha x(b) = 0$$

is given by

$$G(t,s) = -e_0(t,s) \begin{cases} t - a & : a \le t \le s \le b, \\ s - a & : a \le s \le t \le b. \end{cases}$$

11.3. **Periodic Problem.** Finally we consider periodic boundary conditions. In traditional ($\alpha = 1$) calculus, the geometry of periodicity means returning to the same values in the sense that they lie along the same horizontal straight line (geodesic with slope zero). Considering the context of the derivative (1.2), we investigate the periodic BVP

$$Lx = 0, \quad x(a) = e_0(a,b)x(b), \quad D^\alpha x(a) = e_0(a,b)D^\alpha x(b). \tag{11.14}$$

Theorem 11.16. *Let L be as in* (3.1). *Assume that the homogeneous periodic BVP* (11.14) *has only the trivial solution. Then for $t \in [a,b]$, the nonhomogeneous BVP*

$$Lx = h(t), \quad x(a) - e_0(a,b)x(b) = A, \quad D^\alpha x(a) - e_0(a,b)D^\alpha x(b) = B, \tag{11.15}$$

where A and B are given constants and h is continuous, has a unique solution.

Proof. Let x_1 and x_2 be linearly independent solutions of $Lx = 0$. Then

$$x(t) = c_1 x_1(t) + c_2 x_2(t)$$

is a general solution of $Lx = 0$. Note that x satisfies the boundary conditions in (11.14) if and only if c_1 and c_2 are constants satisfying

$$M \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(a) - e_0(a,b)x_1(b) & x_2(a) - e_0(a,b)x_2(b) \\ D^\alpha x_1(a) - e_0(a,b)D^\alpha x_1(b) & D^\alpha x_2(a) - e_0(a,b)D^\alpha x_2(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we are assuming that (11.14) has only the trivial solution, it follows that

$$c_1 = c_2 = 0$$

is the unique solution of the above linear system. Hence

$$\det M \neq 0. \tag{11.16}$$

Now we show that (11.15) has a unique solution. Let u_0 be a fixed solution of $Lu = h(t)$. Then a general solution of $Lu = h(t)$ is given by

$$u(t) = a_1 x_1(t) + a_2 x_2(t) + u_0(t).$$

It follows that u satisfies the boundary conditions in (11.15) if and only if a_1 and a_2 are constants satisfying the system of equations

$$M \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A - u_0(a) + e_0(a,b)u_0(b) \\ B - D^\alpha u_0(a) + e_0(a,b)D^\alpha u_0(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This system has a unique solution because of (11.16), and hence (11.15) has a unique solution. □

Theorem 11.17 (Green's Function for Periodic BVPs)**.** *Assume that the homogeneous BVP* (11.14) *has only the trivial solution. For each fixed $s \in \mathcal{I}$, let $u(\cdot, s)$ be the solution of the BVP*

$$\begin{cases} Lu(\cdot, s) = 0 \\ u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)\left[u(b, s) + x(b, s)\right] \\ D^\alpha u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)\left[D^\alpha u(b, s) + D^\alpha x(b, s)\right], \end{cases} \tag{11.17}$$

where $x(t, s)$ is the Cauchy function for $Lx = 0$, L as in (3.1)*. Define*

$$G(t, s) := \begin{cases} u(t, s) & : t \le s, \\ v(t, s) & : t \ge s, \end{cases} \tag{11.18}$$

where $v(t, s) := u(t, s) + x(t, s)$. If h is continuous, then

$$x(t) := \int_a^b G(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s$$

is the unique solution of the nonhomogeneous periodic BVP (11.15) *with $A = B = 0$. Furthermore, for each fixed $s \in [a, b]$, $v(\cdot, s)$ is a solution of $Lx = 0$, and*

$$u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)v(b, s), \quad D^\alpha u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)D^\alpha v(b, s).$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $u(t, s)$ is guaranteed by Theorem 11.16. Since $v(t, s) = u(t, s) + x(t, s)$, we have for each fixed s that $v(\cdot, s)$ is a solution of $Lx = 0$. Using the boundary conditions in (11.17), it is easy to see that for each fixed s, $u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)v(b, s)$ and $D^\alpha u(a, s) = e_0(a, b)D^\alpha v(b, s)$. Let G be as in (11.18) and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_a^b G(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s = \int_a^b u(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s + \int_a^t x(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b u(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s + z(t), \end{aligned}$$

where, by the variation of constants formula in Theorem 5.5, z solves

$$Lz = h(t), \quad z(a) = D^\alpha z(a) = 0.$$

Hence

$$Lx(t) = \int_a^b Lu(t, s)h(s)d_\alpha s + Lz(t) = Lz(t) = h(t).$$

Thus x is a solution of $Lx = h(t)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x(a) &= \int_a^b u(a, s)h(s)d_\alpha s + z(a) = \int_a^b e_0(a, b)v(b, s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b e_0(a, b)G(b, s)h(s)d_\alpha s = e_0(a, b)x(b), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha x(a) &= \int_a^b D^\alpha u(a,s)h(s)d_\alpha s + D^\alpha z(a) = \int_a^b e_0(a,b)D^\alpha v(b,s)h(s)d_\alpha s \\ &= \int_a^b e_0(a,b)D^\alpha G(b,s)h(s)d_\alpha s = e_0(a,b)D^\alpha x(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence x satisfies the periodic boundary conditions in (11.14). □

Example 11.18. Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. Using Theorem 11.17 we will solve the periodic BVP

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x + x = 3\sin_\alpha(2;t,0), \quad x(0) = e_0(0,\pi^*)x(\pi^*), \quad D^\alpha x(0) = e_0(0,\pi^*)D^\alpha x(\pi^*) \tag{11.19}$$

on $\mathcal{I} = [0,\pi^*]$, where $\pi^* \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$h_1(\pi^*,0) = \pi,$$

and where we have used the notation

$$\sin_\alpha(2;t,0) := e_0(t,0)\sin\left(2h_1(t,0)\right)$$

from Example 3.3. It is easy to show that the homogeneous BVP

$$D^\alpha D^\alpha x + x = 0, \quad x(0) = e_0(0,\pi^*)x(\pi^*), \quad D^\alpha x(0) = e_0(0,\pi^*)D^\alpha x(\pi^*)$$

has only the trivial solution by Example 3.3; hence one approach to solving this would be to use Theorem 11.17 to solve (11.19). By Theorem 11.17, Green's function G is given by (11.18), where for each fixed $s \in [0,\pi^*]$, $u(\cdot,s)$ is the solution of $D^\alpha D^\alpha u + u = 0$ with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(0,s) &= e_0(0,\pi^*)\left[u(\pi^*,s) + x(\pi^*,s)\right], \\ D^\alpha u(0,s) &= e_0(0,\pi^*)\left[D^\alpha u(\pi^*,s) + D^\alpha x(\pi^*,s)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{11.20}$$

where $x(t,s)$ is the Cauchy function for $D^\alpha D^\alpha x + x = 0$, and $v(t,s) := u(t,s) + x(t,s)$. The Cauchy function for $D^\alpha D^\alpha x + x = 0$ is given by Theorem 5.4 as

$$x(t,s) = e_0(t,s)\sin(h_1(t,s)) = \sin_\alpha(1;t,s).$$

Since for each fixed s, $u(\cdot,s)$ is a solution of $D^\alpha D^\alpha u + u = 0$,

$$u(t,s) = A(s)\cos_\alpha(1;t,0) + B(s)\sin_\alpha(1;t,0).$$

From the boundary conditions in (11.20) we get

$$A(s) = \frac{\sin_\alpha(1;s,0)}{2e_0^2(s,0)} \quad \text{and} \quad B(s) = \frac{-\cos_\alpha(1;s,0)}{2e_0^2(s,0)}.$$

It follows that

$$u(t,s) = \frac{\sin_\alpha(1;s,0)\cos_\alpha(1;t,0)}{2e_0^2(s,0)} - \frac{\cos_\alpha(1;s,0)\sin_\alpha(1;t,0)}{2e_0^2(s,0)} = \frac{-1}{2}\sin_\alpha(1;t,s).$$

Therefore

$$v(t,s) = u(t,s) + x(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\sin_\alpha(1;t,s).$$

Hence by Theorem 11.17 the solution of the BVP (11.19) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= 3\int_0^{\pi^*} G(t,s)\sin_\alpha(2;s,0)d_\alpha s \\
&= \frac{3}{2}\int_0^t \sin_\alpha(1;t,s)\sin_\alpha(2;s,0)d_\alpha s - \frac{3}{2}\int_t^{\pi^*} \sin_\alpha(1;t,s)\sin_\alpha(2;s,0)d_\alpha s \\
&= \frac{3}{2}\sin(h_1(t,0))\int_0^t \cos_\alpha(1;s,0)\sin(2h_1(s,0))e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s \\
&\quad -\frac{3}{2}\cos(h_1(t,0))\int_0^t \sin_\alpha(1;s,0)\sin(2h_1(s,0))e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s \\
&\quad -\frac{3}{2}\sin(h_1(t,0))\int_t^{\pi^*} \cos_\alpha(1;s,0)\sin(2h_1(s,0))e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s \\
&\quad +\frac{3}{2}\cos(h_1(t,0))\int_t^{\pi^*} \sin_\alpha(1;s,0)\sin(2h_1(s,0))e_0(t,s)d_\alpha s \\
&= \sin(h_1(t,0))\left[1-\cos^3(h_1(t,0))\right] - \sin(h_1(t,0))\left[1+\cos^3(h_1(t,0))\right] \\
&\quad -\cos(h_1(t,0))\sin^3(h_1(t,0)) - \cos(h_1(t,0))\sin^3(h_1(t,0)) \\
&= -2\sin(h_1(t,0))\cos(h_1(t,0))\left[\cos^2(h_1(t,0)) + \sin^2(h_1(t,0))\right] \\
&= -2\sin(h_1(t,0))\cos(h_1(t,0))e_0^2(t,0) \\
&= -\sin_\alpha(2;t,0).
\end{aligned}$$

Of course one could ultimately arrive at the same solution via Example 3.3 directly, together with the assumption that the solution takes the form $x = x_c + x_p$, where x_c is the general solution to the corresponding homogeneous equation, x_p is any particular solution, and then applying the boundary conditions. △

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by an NSF STEP grant (DUE 0969568) and by a gift to the Division of Sciences and Mathematics at Concordia College made in support of undergraduate research. I would like to thank my two first-year undergraduate students, Grace Bryan and Laura Legare, for their research efforts in the summer REU of 2016.

References

[1] D. R. Anderson and D. J. Ulness, Newly Defined Conformable Derivatives, *Adv. Dyn. Sys. Appl.* Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 109–137 (2015).

[2] G. Bryan and L. Legare, *The Calculus of Proportional α-Derivatives*, Summer Undergraduate REU paper, preprint, 2016.

[3] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

[4] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *The Theory of Differential Equations: Classical and Qualitative*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2004.

[5] Y. Li, K. H. Ang, and G. C. Y. Chong, PID control system analysis and design. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine* 26 No. 1 (2006) 32–41.

[6] J. McCleary, *Geometry from a Differentiable Viewpoint*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1994.
[7] B. O'Neill, *Elementary Differential Geometry*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
[8] W. T. Reid, Oscillation criteria for linear differential systems with complex coefficients, *Pacific J. Math.*, 6 (1956) 733–751.
[9] W. T. Reid, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1971.
[10] W. T. Reid, *Riccati Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
[11] W. T. Reid, *Sturmian Theory for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer -Verlag, New York, 1980.

Department of Mathematics, Concordia College, Moorhead, MN 56562 USA

E-mail address: andersod@cord.edu